

WEBVTT

00:00:35.000 --> 00:00:42.000

This meeting was called by Cec. 30, and will be moderated by myself.

00:00:42.000 --> 00:00:48.000

Jonathan Greenberg and our administrative assistant, Gale Cohen

00:00:48.000 --> 00:00:52.000

We apologize that we do not have interpretation for today's meeting.

00:00:52.000 --> 00:01:02.000

This meeting was called as a special special meeting this week and We're not able to get interpretation at short notice.

00:01:02.000 --> 00:01:07.000

There is a live. there is a live transcript available.

00:01:07.000 --> 00:01:16.000

If you would like to use it. just click on the live transcript button at the bottom of your screen.

00:01:16.000 --> 00:01:32.000

This meeting was called to discuss and to plan for our Town Hall with with candidates for Superintendent of District 30, which will take place tomorrow at 5 Pm.

00:01:32.000 --> 00:01:45.000

Also over zoom. The details are all at our website. Ce Cec*, Dot Org, and we welcome all members of the community at that meeting.

00:01:45.000 --> 00:01:51.000

We also welcome any. This is a This is an open meeting, and we welcome any of any community members to this meeting.

00:01:51.000 --> 00:02:00.000

There will be no public comment at this at this meeting. Julia, will you take the role?

00:02:00.000 --> 00:02:05.000

Sure. Good evening. Everyone Deborah Alexander. Good evening.

00:02:05.000 --> 00:02:15.000

Present Christina Berwin Kelly Craig, you know, as present, may have lost the connection in the elevator.

00:02:15.000 --> 00:02:21.000

Jonathan Greenberg, present Juliet Norhaji, present the team.

00:02:21.000 --> 00:02:27.000

I look roughly presents Victoria Medelas present.

00:02:27.000 --> 00:02:34.000

Michelle Moore present marijuana.

00:02:34.000 --> 00:02:45.000

Whitney Tucson present Esther Mahal present, and Ethan one present.

00:02:45.000 --> 00:03:08.000

Thank you, Thank you, Juliet. So there. are many things about tomorrow's Town hall that we do not have control over the doe has set a a run of show a length and certain parameters that we will need to follow

00:03:08.000 --> 00:03:22.000

and there are other things that we do have control over But before we get, and that's and those things that we do have control over our why we called this meeting to decide how we want to do how we want to arrange

00:03:22.000 --> 00:03:25.000

the things that we can control before we get to those things.

00:03:25.000 --> 00:03:33.000

I I I do want to say a few things just in general about this meeting to remind everyone.

00:03:33.000 --> 00:03:43.000

First, that parents and communities are being given access to this process in a way that they never have been before.

00:03:43.000 --> 00:03:49.000

I mean this. This system has really only been in place for 20 years.

00:03:49.000 --> 00:04:02.000

But throughout that time these kinds of decisions were not made we're not given the kind of broad community platform for broad community input that we are being given now.

00:04:02.000 --> 00:04:11.000

And I really hope that we take advantage of it. this is a chance to for community members to meet these.

00:04:11.000 --> 00:04:19.000

These candidates, and to give input on on what what they want for their for this district.

00:04:19.000 --> 00:04:24.000

And what their impressions are from those those candidates.

00:04:24.000 --> 00:04:30.000

And so I really hope we take this seriously, and really take advantage of this this opportunity.

00:04:30.000 --> 00:04:36.000

At the same time the basic system hasn't changed the Cec.

00:04:36.000 --> 00:04:42.000

Will convey its input to the Chancellor through the C* process.

00:04:42.000 --> 00:04:49.000

And we will take into consideration all the input that we hear from community members tomorrow.

00:04:49.000 --> 00:04:58.000

And after after that point. But ultimately this is the decision of the Chancellor. Had the transfers.

00:04:58.000 --> 00:05:02.000

Does take me. So just we just also need to keep that in mind.

00:05:02.000 --> 00:05:06.000

We are playing a role. I'm feeling very happy that we're playing that role.

00:05:06.000 --> 00:05:18.000

But the system that we're under which we we wrote a resolution about at our last meeting is one where we have very, very limited power.

00:05:18.000 --> 00:05:28.000

Okay, So here are the things that we can't control about tomorrow's meeting.

00:05:28.000 --> 00:05:32.000

Okay, Can I get through this dev? And then, Yeah.

00:05:32.000 --> 00:05:41.000

So the meeting is 2 h long 90 min with the candidates, and 30 min without the candidates.

00:05:41.000 --> 00:06:01.000

The order is set the candidates will each give an introduction that the doe has given a prompt for that introduction. They have between 5 and 7 min and I think we should decide whether it's 5 or 7

00:06:01.000 --> 00:06:08.000

tonight. Then there will be 3 questions that the Cec.

00:06:08.000 --> 00:06:23.000

And the President's Council has had as will have come up with, and those questions each candidate will have between 3 and 5 min to answer each of those questions.

00:06:23.000 --> 00:06:32.000

And so I think we should decide tonight whether it's 3 or 5 or flexible, or you know or what

00:06:32.000 --> 00:06:37.000

We also need to decide the the what questions and we'll get to that in a minute.

00:06:37.000 --> 00:06:44.000

We have 4 candidates in our districts so we we really need to be mindful of that.

00:06:44.000 --> 00:07:00.000

When we're deciding how long we want to allow for these questions, we are not going to be able to ask as many questions between our questions and the community questions as they are in some other districts, because we have 4 candidates some other

00:07:00.000 --> 00:07:09.000

districts only have 2. They will hear more from their candidates in their in their in their events than than we will. Okay.

00:07:09.000 --> 00:07:13.000

So here are the 3 things that I think we need to talk about.

00:07:13.000 --> 00:07:25.000

And then before we sort of finalize this agenda i'll open it up to see if there's other things that anyone else on the Council wants to add in.

00:07:25.000 --> 00:07:35.000

So first I think we need to decide how long we we're giving for the questions both the Cec questions and the the community questions.

00:07:35.000 --> 00:07:45.000

And we also need to decide on on. We are going to allow for community members to speak during that.

00:07:45.000 --> 00:07:52.000

Half an hour after the after the the the candidates have left the the space.

00:07:52.000 --> 00:08:00.000

I will say that face the doe is insisting that the chat be left on.

00:08:00.000 --> 00:08:07.000

So that was something that we had discussed a little bit in the the chat being left on in that half hour.

00:08:07.000 --> 00:08:12.000

The chat will not be on in the in the first hour and a half.

00:08:12.000 --> 00:08:19.000

So this is all what the the what the doe is has decided.

00:08:19.000 --> 00:08:21.000

The chat will be on in that half an hour.

00:08:21.000 --> 00:08:29.000

We There are multiple ways that councils are able to get feedback, and so they're giving us options.

00:08:29.000 --> 00:08:47.000

We can allow people to speak. we can We can or we can come up with a form which I've I have created a draft of and or we can do both.

00:08:47.000 --> 00:08:54.000

And so that's that's the that's the other decision.

00:08:54.000 --> 00:09:04.000

That's that's one other decision we need to make the third decision, which I think we should go into executive session for is to decide on which questions we will ask.

00:09:04.000 --> 00:09:10.000

We have questions both submitted by the Council and the president's Council, and questions submitted by the community.

00:09:10.000 --> 00:09:12.000

And I would like to go into executive session.

00:09:12.000 --> 00:09:25.000

Because this is a public meeting, and I would like to avoid those questions being being communicated to any of these candidates in advance of the of the Town Hall tomorrow.

00:09:25.000 --> 00:09:32.000

And so I would also like to suggest that we do that at the end of the meeting. so we can, so we can do everything else in public.

00:09:32.000 --> 00:09:42.000

And be done with everything else. And then, as a final step in executive session to to finalize the questions, Are there any other?

00:09:42.000 --> 00:09:50.000

Issues or topics that Council members would like to discuss tonight.

00:09:50.000 --> 00:09:56.000

Related to this Town Hall meeting

00:09:56.000 --> 00:10:02.000

Yes, we need. Okay, this is just so. I understand about the amount of question.

00:10:02.000 --> 00:10:10.000

Now, is it just 3 questions total from the cc and President's Council, and an additional questions from the community?

00:10:10.000 --> 00:10:17.000

Or is it just 3 questions from okay So It's 3 questions from that we have for Cec.

00:10:17.000 --> 00:10:21.000

And President's Council, and then we have other questions from the few.

00:10:21.000 --> 00:10:30.000

Okay, And I should say that there are different ways that they are that councils are collecting those questions.

00:10:30.000 --> 00:10:39.000

We collected those questions from the community in advance, and I sent them all out to you today.

00:10:39.000 --> 00:10:44.000

Other councils are collecting those questions in the Q.

00:10:44.000 --> 00:10:54.000

A during during the meeting. So I I I really, for many reasons.

00:10:54.000 --> 00:11:03.000

I I really wanted to do to do it in advance, and also really decide exactly how this is going to go, though I want this to go smoothly and be the orderly.

00:11:03.000 --> 00:11:15.000

Yes, let's see who is next hold on yes yeah First I wanted to say

00:11:15.000 --> 00:11:25.000

I think we have a lot more power, then then you're saying first of all, we're independent from face we go along with what a lot of the do we says, because we do.

00:11:25.000 --> 00:11:36.000

But in reality, you know we were using their technology so we are going to be limited because we chose to use their technology, and we're limited in in what the candidates are willing to do.

00:11:36.000 --> 00:11:41.000

And that's via the Doe everything else we have the power to do whatever we want.

00:11:41.000 --> 00:11:57.000

If we want to leave the chat on we can there's nothing that says that we that you get the point second of all in terms of like in terms of our power, we pretty much changed the face of this whole this whole process.

00:11:57.000 --> 00:12:03.000

Last week they were not going to allow incumbent superintendents, and because our community reached out between Cec.

00:12:03.000 --> 00:12:11.000

Members and half of the people that are on this call. Now we altered the course of the citywide superintendent process.

00:12:11.000 --> 00:12:14.000

That to me shows the power, the the power of parents, the power of community.

00:12:14.000 --> 00:12:26.000

So I I just want to sort of acknowledge that we're not as limited and our reach isn't quite as narrow as maybe you're suggesting to that end I think it's

00:12:26.000 --> 00:12:37.000

outrageous that they're giving 30 min of feedback. I think it's absolutely outrageous and feeding into the fact that to what everyone's saying that this is all a farce this is all theater and I think we

00:12:37.000 --> 00:12:41.000

can push back on that, and we can say, you know what we want to hear from our community.

00:12:41.000 --> 00:12:47.000

We can host our own continuation of of feedback afterwards.

00:12:47.000 --> 00:12:49.000

You know we have a 5 to 6, 30. Well, why not?

00:12:49.000 --> 00:12:56.000

Why not give another hour till 7 30 with our own zoom where people can meet and give feedback until they're done?

00:12:56.000 --> 00:13:09.000

We're not really limited by that so I would love to propose that we can continue our feedback because we have the the ability to do so, and we have, you know, 30,000 parents you know who in our district in half an hour when some

00:13:09.000 --> 00:13:19.000

districts have half that amount of it's ridiculous ridiculous

00:13:19.000 --> 00:13:31.000

Yes, yes, sir. Well, I also just wanted to double check or clarify after the town hall.

00:13:31.000 --> 00:13:38.000

There will actually be an opportunity for us as Council members to again.

00:13:38.000 --> 00:13:47.000

Sit down with candidates and ask questions so I i'm not entirely clear to why?

00:13:47.000 --> 00:13:53.000

Why are we asking questions both here? If we can also ask questions later on?

00:13:53.000 --> 00:14:02.000

And how important are our questions? or, in other words, I know that we are.

00:14:02.000 --> 00:14:08.000

On the one hand, we are dealing with the structure but on the other hand,

00:14:08.000 --> 00:14:11.000

I don't necessarily need to ask my question tomorrow if I can ask it.

00:14:11.000 --> 00:14:16.000

2 weeks later,

00:14:16.000 --> 00:14:24.000

Let me let me take some of those questions from Deb and and Esther first, before I go to Kelly and Fatima.

00:14:24.000 --> 00:14:37.000

First. the reason why we're having tomorrow's town call is because it is a public town hall, and it is a chance for the whole community to see these candidates.

00:14:37.000 --> 00:14:43.000

These C* process is not a public process. In fact, we have to style.

00:14:43.000 --> 00:14:51.000

We have to sign confidentially confidential confidentiality agreements to be in that C* process.

00:14:51.000 --> 00:15:00.000

So this is a chance for everyone in the community to see these candidates and to give input on all of the candidates.

00:15:00.000 --> 00:15:10.000

The status of this town hall, and we can, of course, we can push back, and of course we could call a special meeting.

00:15:10.000 --> 00:15:20.000

We actually can't really call especially meeting for tomorrow because we don't have our bylaws don't allow us to call a special meeting afterwards.

00:15:20.000 --> 00:15:25.000

But the status of this meeting, according to face and i'm telling only telling you what they told me is that this is not our meeting.

00:15:25.000 --> 00:15:30.000

I don't know the legality of that or the specifics of that.

00:15:30.000 --> 00:15:40.000

But this is a a sort of this meeting was basically run by the doe and called by the doe, and we are participants in it.

00:15:40.000 --> 00:15:46.000

How that works with oml open meetings law and etc.

00:15:46.000 --> 00:15:55.000

I really don't know but we have learning times we have interpreters only for those only for the 2 h.

00:15:55.000 --> 00:16:05.000

So that's i'll say that I I may have more to say on on having you know whether we should have a special meeting.

00:16:05.000 --> 00:16:25.000

You know, for for more input, But I will say that that, you know, allowing people to to to to give input, by writing will allow many, many more people and a more representative group of people to give input into this process than

00:16:25.000 --> 00:16:34.000

any, you know, 5 or 10 h Town Hall. would, even if we could listen to everybody who who comes

00:16:34.000 --> 00:16:40.000

So I I think you know it's that's maybe simplifying a bit.

00:16:40.000 --> 00:16:55.000

But i'm absolutely committed to you know giving every opportunity for everyone in the district who who wants to to to to have their voice heard to submit comments.

00:16:55.000 --> 00:17:01.000

Okay, next is Kelly Kelly Kelly, before you speak, and I just for the records.

00:17:01.000 --> 00:17:05.000

Say that Christina is now here. Thank you so much.

00:17:05.000 --> 00:17:14.000

Gail. Okay. So I apologies as I was in my elevator coming up when I walked in.

00:17:14.000 --> 00:17:30.000

I just you know, I, from what I heard of this this start at the meeting, that we should be sort of appreciative, that the do in the system is now including us in this version of of a community engagement which is I use

00:17:30.000 --> 00:17:39.000

that word very loosely. I requested the resumes of the 4 candidates, and I was refused by the doe.

00:17:39.000 --> 00:17:48.000

I don't know about you guys, but i've never gone to a job interview in my life where I didn't have to share beforehand my resume.

00:17:48.000 --> 00:17:54.000

So how do you expect us to sort of do a background in a check?

00:17:54.000 --> 00:18:05.000

When they won't even provide it i'd also like to say that when I requested and i'm happy to read exactly what the deal we wrote back to me. so I asked a very basic question because I thought it very

00:18:05.000 --> 00:18:14.000

curious that there are 32 districts, and I wanted to know the final candidates, which is public information which has been posted by every Cec.

00:18:14.000 --> 00:18:18.000

Of the people that are attending so I asked them very simply Hey, guys, I'm a Cc.

00:18:18.000 --> 00:18:27.000

Member it's really helpful for a lot of the public and us see who's in all of these town halls and the Cec.

00:18:27.000 --> 00:18:32.000

Reply. Hi, we are unable to share the list of candidates. Oh, i'm sorry the deal, we replied Hi.

00:18:32.000 --> 00:18:36.000

We are unable to share the list of candidates for all 32 districts.

00:18:36.000 --> 00:18:43.000

You currently have the candidates for your district district. 30 well to that I say this is public information.

00:18:43.000 --> 00:18:51.000

If I want to know who's in all 32 districts as final candidates, that's not controversial, this should be publicly shared.

00:18:51.000 --> 00:19:06.000

Information, and then, so I took it upon myself to go through and then dig through, of who is for every district because again public information and the amount of people that are in multiple different districts applying it's really concerning and I think that the public

00:19:06.000 --> 00:19:10.000

deserves the right to know that I think that the public has a right.

00:19:10.000 --> 00:19:23.000

The public is fed up, the elected are fed up with their input into this process. and the way that this has been shrouded in mystery that our voices have been minimized continue to be minimized.

00:19:23.000 --> 00:19:26.000

I think the doe is doing a terrible job of managing this.

00:19:26.000 --> 00:19:40.000

I think that us, is a Cec. can and should be doing better. and every time we deny people's spaces we're going to call it, and we're gonna keep on engaging this this this community is desperate to

00:19:40.000 --> 00:19:45.000

be heard, and I promise I will be the cc's blessing with the Geos blessing.

00:19:45.000 --> 00:19:48.000

Whoever's we're gonna create spaces for our people to be heard.

00:19:48.000 --> 00:19:53.000

When Jonathan, you said that the do? we said we could have chat for 30 min.

00:19:53.000 --> 00:19:58.000

Where was that communication? I unfortunately didn't see that where was that email?

00:19:58.000 --> 00:20:03.000

About 3 min. Can you can you is this this your last question can you finish?

00:20:03.000 --> 00:20:08.000

I'll i'll answer all of your questions but this is another thing.

00:20:08.000 --> 00:20:14.000

So they're having so many sidebar conversations and when do we have 30?

00:20:14.000 --> 00:20:18.000

Who said that? who makes these roles, and who says that we have to abide by these roles?

00:20:18.000 --> 00:20:20.000

This is our district. This is our community these are schools these are our children.

00:20:20.000 --> 00:20:27.000

We're talking about i'm sorry if i'm passionate Actually, I'm 0% sorry that i'm passionate about this issue.

00:20:27.000 --> 00:20:32.000

You all should be, and every single person in this district, I will addocate for a right for them to be heard.

00:20:32.000 --> 00:20:45.000

During this process. Let me answer that

00:20:45.000 --> 00:20:51.000

Okay, So team at your next. Oh, oh, sorry. Wait. There was a question there.

00:20:51.000 --> 00:20:58.000

Sorry that I did not answer from Kelly. which was where this, where this was communicated.

00:20:58.000 --> 00:21:06.000

So the face has been sending, or the doe has been sending lots of emails to me. Nbc.

00:21:06.000 --> 00:21:22.000

Seeing the the the Council. so if they if you did not get the the the the communication from today. face doesn't apply to me just like face didn't tell me that they were sending you all the foil requests that

00:21:22.000 --> 00:21:26.000

Juliana had forwarded to you our foil requests to foil.

00:21:26.000 --> 00:21:40.000

So I think you're having like a whole bunch of conversations with face that the rest of us aren't privy to well, they, the doe is having meetings with cec presidents, and is reaching out to us on

00:21:40.000 --> 00:21:44.000

various issues. So that is, that is something that has always, in fact, been done.

00:21:44.000 --> 00:21:51.000

And I try to to turn everything around. to all of you.

00:21:51.000 --> 00:22:01.000

This, as you might imagine, has been a this week, and last week have been bananas for for me and for for everyone else.

00:22:01.000 --> 00:22:09.000

So I if I if I have missed turning around some information to the Council that's pretty pertaining information.

00:22:09.000 --> 00:22:15.000

Did you also disclose to us that Juliana had sent my foil request to you?

00:22:15.000 --> 00:22:19.000

Under what sort of Where do the Presidents of a

00:22:19.000 --> 00:22:23.000

Cec. When are you pre like? Were you gonna just close that to us as well?

00:22:23.000 --> 00:22:32.000

If I got any foil requests I missed them in my email, i'm sorry, cause I never I never saw any foil.

00:22:32.000 --> 00:22:36.000

Requests can. So we wanna admit it that you had received.

00:22:36.000 --> 00:22:44.000

She forwarded you my foil, request What would you like me to pull it up?

00:22:44.000 --> 00:22:50.000

No, no, no. My point is is that , I I never saw that email.

00:22:50.000 --> 00:22:57.000

So , she she you know I I don't I really I don't. I didn't know what you were talking about.

00:22:57.000 --> 00:23:03.000

To be perfectly honest. but I I really have a lot of business to do tonight.

00:23:03.000 --> 00:23:10.000

To plan for tomorrow's meeting so I really would like to move on. Fatima.

00:23:10.000 --> 00:23:20.000

Yes, okay, thank you. So as I agree, by the way with What's Kelly concern, and it's same as mine.

00:23:20.000 --> 00:23:28.000

And with me says that there is like 3 questions. Is those 3 questions again?

00:23:28.000 --> 00:23:45.000

Only Cec or Cec and Community. And if community also will have inputs on having like questions, how about like community when they will have question live during the presentation of the superintendent candidates?

00:23:45.000 --> 00:23:57.000

And maybe also, as Cec. and also is, those questions will be shared with the candidates or not be before, like the the Town Hall.

00:23:57.000 --> 00:24:05.000

And also, I would like to know if there is any kind of information that's not being shared with a Cec.

00:24:05.000 --> 00:24:15.000

Members I would like to be shared today please before like tomorrow, because we don't want to be in situation that's like information.

00:24:15.000 --> 00:24:31.000

That's we don't know about and we'll discover it in the same day, or the same meaning that's I don't think that's gonna make the town hold of smoothly thank you I agree for Tina I Hope that the

00:24:31.000 --> 00:24:35.000

do shares everything with us. so that we know everything in advance.

00:24:35.000 --> 00:24:43.000

Next is debt. I just wanted to comment about

00:24:43.000 --> 00:24:56.000

The possibility of extending our meeting tomorrow, if if we're not following open meetings law by having a meeting with all of us that we're running and hosting and talking I don't see what the problem would be

00:24:56.000 --> 00:25:09.000

to extend that meeting longer. I I really I mean I I think I think we owe it to the community to give them what the deal we won't you know, in the in the time that they want and just because we have a form to

00:25:09.000 --> 00:25:20.000

send out that we'll reach more people that doesn't mean I mean I I heard that 700 parents are at the current D 10 Town Hall, you know, asking parents to come to a town hall.

00:25:20.000 --> 00:25:32.000

Whether it was named correctly. or not asking them to come and show up and spend an hour and a half of their time, and then giving them 30 min potentially. We we haven't even decided if we're gonna let them speak for 30

00:25:32.000 --> 00:25:42.000

minutes. when we have a just district the size of ours is unconscionable, and I think that we have the ability in the means to remedy that at least somewhat.

00:25:42.000 --> 00:25:53.000

So I I really I really hope that we will. Yes, Whitney

00:25:53.000 --> 00:26:00.000

Okay, So I I understand. you know where everybody's coming from we do want to give people the time to speak.

00:26:00.000 --> 00:26:05.000

I think that we're all on the same page with we wanna hear from the parents in the district.

00:26:05.000 --> 00:26:14.000

I just think right now what we need to accomplish is how we're gonna do that, and then also establish that the point.

00:26:14.000 --> 00:26:21.000

This is starting at 5 p I can't parents or getting their kit on.

00:26:21.000 --> 00:26:34.000

They're getting home from work. so I don't really think that the community engagement should be either or with with the the the speaking and the form.

00:26:34.000 --> 00:26:44.000

I just wanna say that I think it should be both especially because we might have some account, cause this is gonna be recorded correct.

00:26:44.000 --> 00:26:47.000

So we will have some parents that will not be watching it live.

00:26:47.000 --> 00:26:57.000

They probably will want to watch the recording and again. you know having a form. we'll allow them to participate as well

00:26:57.000 --> 00:27:07.000

So I definitely think that we did not take the either or approach with communication.

00:27:07.000 --> 00:27:13.000

I just. I just wanted to say that and then also again

00:27:13.000 --> 00:27:20.000

With the the the same, and I have the questions I just wanna make sure I was clear on the the representation of where the pool was coming from.

00:27:20.000 --> 00:27:28.000

Not that I was concerned with the number I just wanted to know again, that I had it correct with where the questions were coming from versus you know, Cc.

00:27:28.000 --> 00:27:37.000

President's council in the public so that that was my question like I I i'm taking this meeting is making sure that I have the procedure correct.

00:27:37.000 --> 00:27:47.000

Tomorrow. and is this going? This is going to be hosted on the do zoom, and not our zoom?

00:27:47.000 --> 00:27:50.000

Would they cut us off if we went over to 30 min?

00:27:50.000 --> 00:27:56.000

Yes, they they will cut up. This is there! Did you always vendor learning times?

00:27:56.000 --> 00:28:01.000

Who does all the Pep meetings will be hosting this meeting.

00:28:01.000 --> 00:28:11.000

And they will be paying after after that Alright, thank you,

00:28:11.000 --> 00:28:28.000

By Juliet. I just wanted to throw on my lawyerly hat for 1 s and say but like I think we all totally agree that we do want the public to have an opportunity to be heard and we don't want to

00:28:28.000 --> 00:28:42.000

limit them. However, we've all sat in meetings for many many hours where we're like slowly pulling away. not because what people are saying is not interesting, but because obviously you know, these things can go on and on and on and so the the law

00:28:42.000 --> 00:28:50.000

is clear the open meetings was clear that it does not require the public body to offer the public, and opportunity to be heard.

00:28:50.000 --> 00:28:55.000

So that's not to say that I don't think that we should, or because the law that doesn't require it that we shouldn't.

00:28:55.000 --> 00:29:00.000

That's just to say that I think that all of us reasonable minded people.

00:29:00.000 --> 00:29:09.000

We want to strike a balance between giving the public and opportunity, and not spending inordinate amount of time.

00:29:09.000 --> 00:29:13.000

You know, like hours and hours and hours. I think we could probably sit for different days.

00:29:13.000 --> 00:29:19.000

Gathering public comment. but we don't we obviously don't have the capacity for that.

00:29:19.000 --> 00:29:30.000

So I agree with Whitney i'm happy that the chat's gonna be open and i'm confident that we can come to some kind of you know understanding so that parents don't feel like they don't

00:29:30.000 --> 00:29:35.000

have the opportunity to be heard

00:29:35.000 --> 00:29:44.000

Yes, Kelly, I feel like we regularly spend 4 to 5 h on monthly meetings over

00:29:44.000 --> 00:29:51.000

I I not less trivial, but like certainly less pressing, or some of the issues are certainly less pressing.

00:29:51.000 --> 00:30:01.000

Then we would on something as important of who's going to be the leader of our of our district, and certainly engaging people in our community who are desperate to be heard as part of that process.

00:30:01.000 --> 00:30:07.000

We give them the courtesy of being heard at any calendar meeting for anyone that raises their hand. We don't cut it off.

00:30:07.000 --> 00:30:16.000

We how many of us. have been here to past 11 o'clock at night, exhausted, feeling sick the next day having to get up to go to work.

00:30:16.000 --> 00:30:21.000

So I think the least we can do is extend the same courtesy over something as important as this.

00:30:21.000 --> 00:30:26.000

And if their pushback is that oh, we've only contracted them for for 2 h.

00:30:26.000 --> 00:30:35.000

Well, we also regularly spend over 6 figures on contracting contractors to do public engagement.

00:30:35.000 --> 00:30:43.000

So I I think that they can probably extend, however many, however much it costs for another 2 h.

00:30:43.000 --> 00:30:48.000

But just because they sent 2 h doesn't mean we have to say, Okay, thank you.

00:30:48.000 --> 00:30:52.000

We can say, No, we're not going to accept that and we can push back.

00:30:52.000 --> 00:31:04.000

We also have rights to push back. This is they might give a loose interpretation, and they're not even sharing with all of us the rules I mean, we're getting like sitephones like most of these processes.

00:31:04.000 --> 00:31:12.000

Very little information. but if I can give you 5 h on a regular Monday night meeting you, we can certainly give another 5 h for something.

00:31:12.000 --> 00:31:17.000

This worthy

00:31:17.000 --> 00:31:23.000

Yes, sir, 2 things. I agree. A Friday night is not, you know.

00:31:23.000 --> 00:31:36.000

The whole point of moving it to 5 was that 7 o'clock on a Friday night was not conducive to for anybody's for engagement for anybody, but certainly you know there's we could find a happy medium between 30 min and

00:31:36.000 --> 00:31:45.000

several days like there's gotta be something in between that we can we can very, very easily do it's it's so easy.

00:31:45.000 --> 00:31:51.000

I I can't I can't think of why we wouldn't the second question I have is

00:31:51.000 --> 00:31:56.000

This process is supposed to be in conjunction with other parent leaders.

00:31:56.000 --> 00:32:01.000

Right. Presidents council, and the deep pack what's their role tomorrow?

00:32:01.000 --> 00:32:05.000

What is their role? Is it? Are they just involved in the C. 37?

00:32:05.000 --> 00:32:11.000

Are they supposed to be involved tomorrow? They're not d pack in our district?

00:32:11.000 --> 00:32:16.000

That is what Dr. neposto told me so that doesn't exist.

00:32:16.000 --> 00:32:31.000

The Presence Council has been present. The executive committee of the Presence Council has been involved in helping us to formulate questions which we'll see soon.

00:32:31.000 --> 00:32:35.000

When we get to that portion of our meeting

00:32:35.000 --> 00:32:40.000

And if we would like, we can ask them to read one of the questions.

00:32:40.000 --> 00:32:46.000

That is the capacity that they're that they're involved.

00:32:46.000 --> 00:32:57.000

At this at this time

00:32:57.000 --> 00:33:13.000

Oh, so I i'll say i'll say one more thing, cause I think it's really important to get this out in the open, which is that I I am all you know. I Think all of us can agree on in

00:33:13.000 --> 00:33:18.000

general on hearing our community voices on important issues.

00:33:18.000 --> 00:33:30.000

And that a a combination of, you know, of opportunities written opportunities, spoken opportunities.

00:33:30.000 --> 00:33:34.000

You know, in person opportunities if we were able to.

00:33:34.000 --> 00:33:41.000

Is is probably the best to give people different types of opportunities to weigh in on important issues.

00:33:41.000 --> 00:33:57.000

I do think this is a This is a special issue, and one of the reasons for that is that is, that we do now have our sitting superintendent as a candidate for in this process.

00:33:57.000 --> 00:34:03.000

And as we all know they're very strong feelings about our part.

00:34:03.000 --> 00:34:09.000

Our current superintendent, and even if we didn't have our superintendent to some degree.

00:34:09.000 --> 00:34:20.000

I think people will be very reluctant to be critical of someone who in 6 weeks time may be the head of their district.

00:34:20.000 --> 00:34:25.000

And so I don't think this is an issue it's not to me.

00:34:25.000 --> 00:34:39.000

Similar to an election where people generally feel pretty pretty free to speak freely, and if their candidate doesn't lose they're not, you know they're they're the the things that go on in Washington or in Albany are

00:34:39.000 --> 00:34:45.000

enough removed from them that they can say all right my candidate didn't win.

00:34:45.000 --> 00:34:49.000

We'll we'll try next time this is not like that this person is going to be the the leader of the district.

00:34:49.000 --> 00:34:58.000

They're going to have a hand in things that affect their children or their neighbors children, or their or their their livelihood.

00:34:58.000 --> 00:35:14.000

If they're a teacher or a principal so this is something that is very personal, and I think that and I know that there are people who will would be reluctant to speak publicly about their feelings on on this issue

00:35:14.000 --> 00:35:28.000

for those reasons. So I think that that's not to say we shouldn't have public speaking. it's just to say that what we learned from that from people speaking publicly is is limited.

00:35:28.000 --> 00:35:36.000

So yes, Esther. Yeah. just trying to think in particular terms.

00:35:36.000 --> 00:35:43.000

Dary's the second meeting that is scheduled for Sscc.

00:35:43.000 --> 00:35:52.000

To go into the C* 7 process is either on Tuesday, the 20, fourth, or on Wednesday, June one.

00:35:52.000 --> 00:36:13.000

So if we are thinking about having, in addition to the chat, in addition to public speaking, or to also have people to give people the opportunity to look at the recording and then response comments, or whatever form of shape we we want to put that it

00:36:13.000 --> 00:36:23.000

it. there is there is a limitation to that. if indeed, we end up with the 20 fourth of May as our day for the C* process already.

00:36:23.000 --> 00:36:27.000

So it has to be. I mean, that is our second option.

00:36:27.000 --> 00:36:34.000

So it would be probably easier for us if it would be Wednesday to first or sorry June.

00:36:34.000 --> 00:36:48.000

The first, which is a Wednesday. because that gives us a little bit more time and and people more time to actually look at this recording and through a form.

00:36:48.000 --> 00:36:58.000

I guess, come up with which comments, so we can also take that into account before we sit down again with the candidates.

00:36:58.000 --> 00:37:04.000

I do have an update on that because the division of school leadership.

00:37:04.000 --> 00:37:19.000

At 5 50 Pm. this evening sent me and I I don't know if they'd be cCD this time, but they sent me another email about scheduling the C* consultation the the dates that We

00:37:19.000 --> 00:37:28.000

had provided them, seem to be out the window. they are asking again. for us to give them our availability.

00:37:28.000 --> 00:37:48.000

I'm not entirely surprised about this because they do need to schedule this at a time when the when the President's Council and the and the unions are able to do it. too, and the first time they asked they

00:37:48.000 --> 00:37:56.000

tried this process. They we're including all of those people, so I will be sending out another poll.

00:37:56.000 --> 00:38:09.000

Tonight or tomorrow morning for dates. on that however, what they did say is that the consultations will now take place between May thirty-first and June tenth.

00:38:09.000 --> 00:38:27.000

Okay, So that's just just in so that solves the whole issue, or there's no less of a time crunch. people have more time to watch the recording and also give input that we okay

00:38:27.000 --> 00:38:34.000

Yeah, I I really I really don't like deciding what people think or feel.

00:38:34.000 --> 00:38:43.000

So I would like to give as much opportunity for those who want to speak I don't think it's fair to say because there might not be criticism.

00:38:43.000 --> 00:38:48.000

We shouldn't allow parents to voice their opinion I don't think that's fair.

00:38:48.000 --> 00:38:55.000

I don't think that's our place you know We We're supposed to be making these decisions based on public.

00:38:55.000 --> 00:39:05.000

Input not decide. You know what the input should be and what the proportion of the input should be, and whether or not a parent may or may not feel comfortable.

00:39:05.000 --> 00:39:12.000

I think, having the option for the for the form, and having the option to speak, solves that problem.

00:39:12.000 --> 00:39:18.000

I. You know we we had over 500 people speak for 3 and a half hours last week.

00:39:18.000 --> 00:39:27.000

People want to speak. If if this was if kovat wasn't happening, we would have people at a microphone in a school discussing this, and they would come, and they would come up to the mic.

00:39:27.000 --> 00:39:33.000

And we wouldn't say well you shouldn't we shouldn't have the mic open, because someone might be too shy to speak, or someone might not want to.

00:39:33.000 --> 00:39:42.000

You know, give a critical you I mean that's just not our place to decide our places to is to host a forum where people can give feedback.

00:39:42.000 --> 00:39:57.000

So I really don't like taking that away based on an assumption that of someone's personality or their feelings, especially when we're giving an opportunity to do so privately.

00:39:57.000 --> 00:40:21.000

That's that's that's fair I will I will say One thing is that our our our our Icr job here as to to learn the as best we can about these candidates and to come up with a to come

00:40:21.000 --> 00:40:39.000

up with input during the C 37 process. And to do that we, we should engage our communities so that we understand what you know as broad and representative a

00:40:39.000 --> 00:40:48.000

A swath of our community feels about what they want out of out of this district, and what they want, and how they might react to each.

00:40:48.000 --> 00:40:59.000

These candidates. And so my point is not that we should stop people from speaking is simply that We need to decide what the best ways are to gather that.

00:40:59.000 --> 00:41:08.000

Input and from my perspective the written form is really the best way which is not to say, we shouldn't have operators to speak.

00:41:08.000 --> 00:41:18.000

You know, in a in a live setting. It seems to me, after what Esther was saying, that if we wanted to do that, perhaps we should schedule it.

00:41:18.000 --> 00:41:29.000

Next week. so that people would have the opportunity to to watch the the recording of the of the video.

00:41:29.000 --> 00:41:40.000

Yes, Kelly is next. Thanks. You just said that part of this is to learn about the candidates.

00:41:40.000 --> 00:41:50.000

Well, when the do we? disclosing resumes, Been kind of like a basic first start rather than denying Count Members request for basic information.

00:41:50.000 --> 00:41:57.000

Again wouldn't it also if we're learning about them. i'd like to learn where else they're applying.

00:41:57.000 --> 00:42:03.000

I'd like to know how many town halls that they've been attended, How many town halls they plan on attending again.

00:42:03.000 --> 00:42:15.000

Basic information. So I again feel like the amount of information we can even learn about them is being controlled and smothered by the doe, and I don't appreciate that, and I know a lot of our community doesn't appreciate

00:42:15.000 --> 00:42:23.000

that either. and I just think as Council members, we are a appointed Council.

00:42:23.000 --> 00:42:28.000

Our job in District 30, and the entire city right now is one district 30.

00:42:28.000 --> 00:42:41.000

We are the talk of what's happening in this process and for if you agree with it, or if you don't agree with it, it's the truth, and I think it's really apparent as people that are appointed

00:42:41.000 --> 00:42:48.000

elected to represent the 30 something 1,000 parents in this district.

00:42:48.000 --> 00:43:01.000

I think it it's really important. that all of us Here, take some ownership and be transparent about sort of how we got to this process, and you know, I think it's really important that you know we

00:43:01.000 --> 00:43:05.000

disclose that 3 weeks ago, or maybe it was, for maybe it was 3.

00:43:05.000 --> 00:43:13.000

You know that we were. Every Cc. was asked to write a letter of recommendation for

00:43:13.000 --> 00:43:27.000

There, superintendent, if they were If they were reapplying, and 6 Council members signed it, and I have 5 of you did not, and some of you sent your own individual letters which i've asked for you guys to share and

00:43:27.000 --> 00:43:34.000

you have not, but I think that the public has a right to every sort of way that we vote.

00:43:34.000 --> 00:43:49.000

I think it's our right to be as transparent as possible, and I think some of you are not being transparent, and I think some of you are doing things behind the scenes that I don't necessarily appreciate and you know I just really hope

00:43:49.000 --> 00:43:54.000

that this community, as they watch this space, remembers

00:43:54.000 --> 00:43:57.000

Some of these actions when it comes time for re-election.

00:43:57.000 --> 00:44:06.000

And who represents your children, and your families in this district and I really hope that there's a call to action to call some of this out.

00:44:06.000 --> 00:44:15.000

I'll tell you please message me i'll let you know who signed what

00:44:15.000 --> 00:44:32.000

Yes, Victoria. Hi I wanted to say that I attended a town hall earlier today, and although I think there's a suggested way that we should conduct the Town hall or steps that we should take they also ask the candidates just so they can

00:44:32.000 --> 00:44:39.000

get questions from the community if they can stay on an extra 10 or 15 min to answer some questions. and I think that was really important to do with.

00:44:39.000 --> 00:44:43.000

Deviated from what they asked us to do in that email.

00:44:43.000 --> 00:44:50.000

And I think that was important. And I also want to say that the questions that were asked came from parent coordinators came from.

00:44:50.000 --> 00:44:55.000

Principals came from students, and they were very like neutral.

00:44:55.000 --> 00:44:58.000

But I think that that might be a way to go about things too.

00:44:58.000 --> 00:45:03.000

It doesn't necessarily have to come from the council. I think it important to get it from members of the community.

00:45:03.000 --> 00:45:06.000

Maybe staff that are just as invested as we are as parents.

00:45:06.000 --> 00:45:08.000

So I just wanted to say, and it was beautifully run.

00:45:08.000 --> 00:45:17.000

There was no hiccups, and and they also said that everything in the chat would also, I mean, I know it was reported back, but the transcript would be saved and sent out.

00:45:17.000 --> 00:45:27.000

So I just wanted to let you guys know. So, Victoria, how many, how many, how many candidates were there in that forum?

00:45:27.000 --> 00:45:34.000

Okay, and and the the extra time that the candidates were on.

00:45:34.000 --> 00:45:57.000

So they they stayed on an extra 15 min. You said

00:45:57.000 --> 00:46:04.000

And then and then how long was the portion of the meeting without the candidates?

00:46:04.000 --> 00:46:15.000

Before we had to kind of go it was about 20 min, so I think it ran a little bit longer than it it should have, but it was about 20 min, and everyone just kept writing into the into the chat.

00:46:15.000 --> 00:46:21.000

Was the was their public speaking in during that time there was public feedback.

00:46:21.000 --> 00:46:27.000

But no, you couldn't really you couldn't speak or anything but everything she said was going to be recorded and sent over.

00:46:27.000 --> 00:46:34.000

So it went. It went over, but it sounds like it only went over like 1015 min or something. . right?

00:46:34.000 --> 00:46:42.000

Okay, Alright, thanks. thanks. we actually do if we wanted to ask

00:46:42.000 --> 00:46:47.000

I don't know if she has the answers but Juliana is here.

00:46:47.000 --> 00:46:51.000

If we wanted to ask about extending it. extending it.

00:46:51.000 --> 00:46:56.000

You know what the what, the what the flexibility is?

00:46:56.000 --> 00:47:08.000

So I I but i'm i'm actually really anxious for us to get on to the other questions that we have to to answer for tonight.

00:47:08.000 --> 00:47:15.000

We do have. I mean we are talking about you know.

00:47:15.000 --> 00:47:23.000

Yeah. finding a way to provide more, you know. spoke more opportunity for spoken input.

00:47:23.000 --> 00:47:53.000

I I think I i'm not sure is yeah other other Council members who have who have thoughts on that on that topic.

00:47:57.000 --> 00:48:11.000

Okay, I am going to move on to the next next part of our agenda, which is today.

00:48:11.000 --> 00:48:21.000

How long candidates will have to answer the questions. Now, I just want to remind you, and I sent this out last week.

00:48:21.000 --> 00:48:36.000

All of, you know, and we we actually discussed this at our last meeting that we were going to to ask solicit questions from the community in advance, and we did that, and we got 50 some odd questions from the community which I've sent to

00:48:36.000 --> 00:48:42.000

you which I sent to you today. So we have 2 groups of questions.

00:48:42.000 --> 00:48:47.000

We have the questions that will become this: The 3 questions that came from Cec.

00:48:47.000 --> 00:48:56.000

Members and President's Council, and then we have these other questions the 3 questions from the Cec.

00:48:56.000 --> 00:49:07.000

We can give 3 to 5 min for Depending on how long we give to those, we will have less or more time for the community questions.

00:49:07.000 --> 00:49:15.000

Now we could, we we could, and we can decide how long candidates have to answer those community questions as well.

00:49:15.000 --> 00:49:21.000

So you know we could make it a kind of fairly rapid fire.

00:49:21.000 --> 00:49:27.000

Rapid fire thing where we gave them 1Â min to answer those questions.

00:49:27.000 --> 00:49:33.000

Okay, that doesn't feel great. These are like these are meaty questions a lot of times.

00:49:33.000 --> 00:49:39.000

But we but we could do that in that way. We would get more questions in

00:49:39.000 --> 00:49:47.000

Yes, Esther, we'll be so the the first part of the meeting will be introductions, and since we have 4

00:49:47.000 --> 00:49:57.000

And I I remember early on, when they started planning this, they actually asked us some input on how long should these introductions be?

00:49:57.000 --> 00:50:07.000

And there you you, you said 5 to 7Â min. Can we at least agree to give them a max of 5?

00:50:07.000 --> 00:50:15.000

So we, with 4 candidates that's already 20Â min into a meeting where people have only and and it will not be 20Â min.

00:50:15.000 --> 00:50:23.000

It will go over and then the other thing that So we have our 3 questions.

00:50:23.000 --> 00:50:32.000

But just getting back to what I said earlier, because, as Council members, we do have more opportunity to ask questions.

00:50:32.000 --> 00:50:44.000

Would it be possible to give as much space as possible, and be as much creative for pushing whatever you want to call it, to give the questions to community members?

00:50:44.000 --> 00:50:50.000

And then we have to chat open and then we I mean we can take it from there.

00:50:50.000 --> 00:51:05.000

But that is already, I mean I know that there's the the 3 questions 3 to 5Â min to answer, and then only 30Â min left for the for people who who call in.

00:51:05.000 --> 00:51:13.000

Maybe we can ask as many questions or all questions from the community, because we can later.

00:51:13.000 --> 00:51:30.000

And I saw I mean and there's a good there's a interesting diversity of questions among the questions that people have sent in. So the I mean to that extent. we as representative are already able to you know to ask

00:51:30.000 --> 00:51:35.000

additional questions that are not, or follow up on answers that they give to use it in that way.

00:51:35.000 --> 00:51:49.000

Instead of we all asking questions endlessly we can actually make take notes and then follow up on whatever taking the feedback that comes in later, and following up on questions that have been asked.

00:51:49.000 --> 00:51:55.000

I don't in necessarily in principle have any objection to that.

00:51:55.000 --> 00:52:04.000

But I do think that the doe is trying to, nor trying to make these town halls.

00:52:04.000 --> 00:52:27.000

As much the same as possible so I don't if if we agreed to. I I would like to actually ask ask Juliana if she's willing to to jump on to answer that question, whether we could instead of

00:52:27.000 --> 00:52:39.000

asking questions from the president's council and the cdc those 3 questions the 3 to 5 min questions whether we could make all of our questions.

00:52:39.000 --> 00:52:48.000

I mean, I suppose we could. We could just ask the questions that the community members have given us. And then you know it. Yeah.

00:52:48.000 --> 00:52:53.000

Okay, who knows that it's not my question or or Whoever question ?

00:52:53.000 --> 00:53:02.000

, If others agree I mean it's it's just presuming we go into executive session. when we get into the executive session.

00:53:02.000 --> 00:53:10.000

Let's take a look at the the questions both that the community members have have have submitted, and the ones that we, as accounts, have submitted.

00:53:10.000 --> 00:53:15.000

And we can look at where the overlap is, and look at what we think.

00:53:15.000 --> 00:53:21.000

The you know, the best sort of range of questions would be so, and we can decide that.

00:53:21.000 --> 00:53:31.000

Then so yes, deb So I was just about to say the exact same thing.

00:53:31.000 --> 00:53:48.000

Esther and if I I absolutely think that as representatives we should be, you know, representing the the viewpoints of our of our constituents, and given given the the lack of time, that the doe is giving them I personally would want

00:53:48.000 --> 00:53:52.000

to donate any question I had, but I think all of our questions should go to the community.

00:53:52.000 --> 00:53:57.000

We have a fit 60 questions to choose from a variety of topics.

00:53:57.000 --> 00:54:03.000

100% support that idea as far as the uniformity I mean the deep.

00:54:03.000 --> 00:54:07.000

I I can't I can't really hear any more about like what the do we want us to do because the do?

00:54:07.000 --> 00:54:10.000

He has no control over us, and they're not having uniform town halls.

00:54:10.000 --> 00:54:24.000

They're giving us 4 candidates, in the exact same amount of time as people with as districts with 2 candidates. If they're not going to be uniform, so I like we we can run this they have no no control they only control.

00:54:24.000 --> 00:54:31.000

They have is the learning times is doing this so learning times can shut off, or they can, I suppose, cut our mics if we did something.

00:54:31.000 --> 00:54:38.000

But than that they're asking us to run run a meeting we have greed.

00:54:38.000 --> 00:54:43.000

They don't have a they we could have all said no we don't want to, but we we agreed.

00:54:43.000 --> 00:54:51.000

But we are autonomous, so we can do well, really, whatever we want, unless learning times shuts off our mics.

00:54:51.000 --> 00:55:04.000

But oh, my my only question is This is supposed to be a collaboration with Presidents Council, so I don't want to take questions away from President's Council if that's if they they wanna ask their own questions and

00:55:04.000 --> 00:55:11.000

I just I we're sort of taking the rains here and i'm not sure if we're supposed to be doing this in conjunction with those leaders.

00:55:11.000 --> 00:55:16.000

As well. Well, look, we can look at all the questions.

00:55:16.000 --> 00:55:24.000

There were 2 questions submitted by president's council executive committee members.

00:55:24.000 --> 00:55:32.000

We can look at those questions we don't need to be documentary about choosing all of all from one pot, and your none from the other.

00:55:32.000 --> 00:55:44.000

Decide. you know, when we, when we look at the questions and especially if if we see what those questions are, and we see that there are other questions from the community that ask similar questions.

00:55:44.000 --> 00:55:52.000

I think I think I would be comfortable with that Whitney.

00:55:52.000 --> 00:55:56.000

I don't wanna be the data horse I really feel like i'm about to

00:55:56.000 --> 00:56:00.000

But I I agree with what Esther said about potentially.

00:56:00.000 --> 00:56:06.000

Pulling from the public's question full transparency.

00:56:06.000 --> 00:56:19.000

The question that I put forward for myself was something that I got from a few parents, because I talked to parents before I submitted my question, because I wanted to make sure that it wasn't a question coming from me was the question coming from parents

00:56:19.000 --> 00:56:31.000

in the community. So that's what I did that was my question. So it you know it was a parent question, and I want to look at more of those parent questions, and we discussed them tonight in the Executive session.

00:56:31.000 --> 00:56:46.000

I think that you know those are the questions. I think we should eventually move forward, especially in the vein, because they are coming from the public like parents came in put their questions on the form that we said prior to the meeting so I definitely agree with

00:56:46.000 --> 00:56:51.000

that and and again you know we're we're all here aware of

00:56:51.000 --> 00:57:00.000

We're representing the parents voices in the district so yeah, that's that's where I am with that.

00:57:00.000 --> 00:57:15.000

So again. yes, I the obvious you know we we all know we're here to represent the parents, and we did get a lot of really good questions from the parents in our community. and that that's why I am with the with the questions and Now that I know that we only

00:57:15.000 --> 00:57:32.000

got 2 from the president's. council, meeting look at those 2 but i'm glad that we have is about 50 50 60 questions from parents in the district, and, like I said I pulled up Pool, if you before I put my question forward

00:57:32.000 --> 00:57:36.000

so

00:57:36.000 --> 00:57:42.000

Yes, Kelly, i'm just gonna echo what Whitney was saying.

00:57:42.000 --> 00:57:46.000

The reason I specifically do not as a Council member, submit.

00:57:46.000 --> 00:57:55.000

A question is that we have a forum, where, whenever we want to raise our hand to be heard, we can be heard, and the public, unfortunately, because of the system, does not.

00:57:55.000 --> 00:58:05.000

So I wanted to yield my question in my time to the public, who, I add the Kate from being heard from, and will continue to loudly advocate for.

00:58:05.000 --> 00:58:16.000

And I really strongly just want to echo what Whitney said, and that the vast majority of these I really want to th This should be this public engagement that's what we're here, to do and I want to hear what they sent

00:58:16.000 --> 00:58:22.000

in, and I think it should take President over the rest of us.

00:58:22.000 --> 00:58:28.000

Okay. So we'll discuss that in discuss the questions more in executive session.

00:58:28.000 --> 00:58:39.000

I will say one more thing, which is that we are here to represent all of the De A community in our district, and there are many parents who did not send in questions.

00:58:39.000 --> 00:58:53.000

There are many parents who never even got notice of this meeting i'll tell you that my that my school my child school did not send out any of the flyers until I think this afternoon.

00:58:53.000 --> 00:59:11.000

So. Not all parents were community members had the opportunity to submit questions, And, as is always the case when we say we represent parents in this district, we need to represent all parents based not only on what they say in our meetings, but what

00:59:11.000 --> 00:59:22.000

we know when we go out and talk to them, what our our our experience in schools in ptas, in, you know, talking to other parents in the community.

00:59:22.000 --> 00:59:27.000

All of this stuff needs to go into how we represent those parents, and how we make decisions.

00:59:27.000 --> 00:59:34.000

Not only the parents who who do submit the questions although they're obviously very, very important, as well.

00:59:34.000 --> 00:59:43.000

In depth. Can we ask the Presidents of Presidents Council to join our executive session tonight?

00:59:43.000 --> 00:59:47.000

Are all 5 of them here I don't know I don't know if any of them are here.

00:59:47.000 --> 00:59:57.000

But , I I I'm not sure I feel comfortable because I did not and notify them that they might be in our in our meet invited to our meeting.

00:59:57.000 --> 01:00:03.000

I can look to see if any of them are here.

01:00:03.000 --> 01:00:09.000

Is there 5 members of the executive board of President's council.

01:00:09.000 --> 01:00:12.000

I would certainly be happy to to have them join the meeting.

01:00:12.000 --> 01:00:26.000

But I am not there too. There are 2 co-presidents, though right? There are 2 co-presidents, and there is a treasurer and a vice president, and a and the other thing that people have secretary

01:00:26.000 --> 01:00:36.000

so all of them had the opportunity to to submit questions and 2 of them did. hi!

01:00:36.000 --> 01:00:45.000

I don't actually see any of them on the meeting, although if I I could ask if any of them are in the meeting, they could raise their hand.

01:00:45.000 --> 01:00:52.000

Oh, Rebecca Staley who is one of the co-presidents is I I just don't know whether it's.

01:00:52.000 --> 01:00:56.000

This is appropriate to have one member of the executive.

01:00:56.000 --> 01:01:10.000

Can be on you know in our in our in our meeting in our executive session without the others. I if any of you have any thoughts on that, you know I I would just say it's it's

01:01:10.000 --> 01:01:14.000

better than none. if we're supposed to be collaborating with President's council.

01:01:14.000 --> 01:01:24.000

Sure and I I I don't also don't want to pressure Becka into joining so.

01:01:24.000 --> 01:01:32.000

You know, if there are, I will have to, will actually have to vote to have anybody join our executive session.

01:01:32.000 --> 01:01:48.000

In any case, if we vote Becka I'll just tell you we are voting to give you the option of joining us in our in our executive session, and you should join if you would like, to

01:01:48.000 --> 01:02:02.000

and and and if you don't we will not have any any hard feelings or assumptions about that. Okay, So the

01:02:02.000 --> 01:02:08.000

Okay, So let me ask that because this is getting a little more complicated.

01:02:08.000 --> 01:02:25.000

If we if we are, if we have, if we try to say we only have one type of question, then how long do we want to allow candidates to answer those questions?

01:02:25.000 --> 01:02:31.000

I think 3 min is a good amount of time. I would not want to give less.

01:02:31.000 --> 01:02:42.000

We might even want to give more. because these are meaty questions, and we want to allow these candidates to really get to know these candidates and learn from them.

01:02:42.000 --> 01:02:50.000

Yes, step I I agree. I I think You know we can blame chopping it from 5 to 3 to the fact that there are 4 candidates.

01:02:50.000 --> 01:02:53.000

Having spoken at a lot of timed meetings.

01:02:53.000 --> 01:02:59.000

I think 3 min is a is a good lead time I think that's 2 min is goes by quickly.

01:02:59.000 --> 01:03:07.000

So I think 3 min is great. I would also pause it that Perhaps their introductions be limited to 3 min too.

01:03:07.000 --> 01:03:14.000

Just to get more. But we we wanna we wanna hear from all of them as much as possible, and as many questions as possible.

01:03:14.000 --> 01:03:23.000

I think I think 3 min certainly, for a question is good

01:03:23.000 --> 01:03:32.000

Other thoughts on that

01:03:32.000 --> 01:03:43.000

Okay, So i'm going to do a little back of the napkin calculation here on the computer.

01:03:43.000 --> 01:03:51.000

So i'm gonna just assume for now, we can discuss this, that we do give them 5 min for their for their introductions.

01:03:51.000 --> 01:04:01.000

That's 20 min let's take 10 min because we know the interpretation, and all of the everything takes takes that

01:04:01.000 --> 01:04:08.000

We have 60 min left. Do 4 candidates, 60 min.

01:04:08.000 --> 01:04:17.000

3 min per question. so in so 6, 12 min.

01:04:17.000 --> 01:04:28.000

So 12 min for each question. right right, so so we That's 5 questions.

01:04:28.000 --> 01:04:42.000

Which that's yeah. 36 min for the question. So 3 questions, 3 by great questions, 3 min each.

01:04:42.000 --> 01:04:49.000

Question: Yeah, 4 candidates. So each question is 3 min of 12 min of question for each candidate.

01:04:49.000 --> 01:04:57.000

Great questions. Well, times 30. 36. so it's 36 min of questions, so that would only leave us 24 min for

01:04:57.000 --> 01:05:04.000

The public, speaking hopefully, they won't cut us off for 6 min even that we have 4 candidates.

01:05:04.000 --> 01:05:19.000

Hi, yeah, I I I like this I like that plan It's not a lot of questions, but as I said, I think it's really important to give them ample time to to speak to speak to them.

01:05:19.000 --> 01:05:31.000

I Okay.

01:05:31.000 --> 01:05:38.000

Yes, Michelle. No, I I was just confused for a minute because I thought you said 5 min, but then we did.

01:05:38.000 --> 01:05:42.000

The calculation based on 3 min I mean 5 questions as A.

01:05:42.000 --> 01:05:55.000

It's 5 min for an introduction 5 min for the Oh, change the introduction to 5 min, the interpretation So that's 30 20 points.

01:05:55.000 --> 01:06:07.000

Right. Okay, And then just 3 questions: , , I I would like to put a pitch in to leave it at 5 min.

01:06:07.000 --> 01:06:15.000

And this is why, and it has to do with something that Kelly's been saying about you know we don't have the

01:06:15.000 --> 01:06:22.000

We don't have resumes we have Google but it's it's sort of you know.

01:06:22.000 --> 01:06:32.000

Look I'm a librarian I it's we we don't have full information, and allowing for 5 min introductions.

01:06:32.000 --> 01:06:37.000

Allows candidates to tell things about themselves that they want us to know.

01:06:37.000 --> 01:06:48.000

That maybe not. That may not be expected. You know that that may be and so I I really I I think that we should leave that at at 5 min.

01:06:48.000 --> 01:06:54.000

I understand Why, yeah, you know there's there's an impulse to to cut it.

01:06:54.000 --> 01:07:04.000

But but I think I think any any other thoughts on that one.

01:07:04.000 --> 01:07:17.000

Yes, Victoria, you're you're muted sorry I think 5 is also good, because if that's i'm i'm not sure what instructions the potential .

01:07:17.000 --> 01:07:23.000

The candidates got but 5 to 7 if we're gonna give it to them on the lower, and then I can fly this time, so some of them might have been planning for 7.

01:07:23.000 --> 01:07:31.000

I think 5 5 sounds good. that's a really good point they already know that this is gonna be 5 to 7.

01:07:31.000 --> 01:07:42.000

So Yeah. Okay, take it back you're absolutely right Okay, So

01:07:42.000 --> 01:07:47.000

The other thing that I had was whether to allow for speaking.

01:07:47.000 --> 01:07:51.000

And so this is, of course, tied up with our whole discussion of of like.

01:07:51.000 --> 01:07:59.000

Do we kind of want to extend, or perhaps have a an additional meeting where we allow people to speak?

01:07:59.000 --> 01:08:12.000

You know here's the thing if we have a full 30 min of speaking, and we gave people 2 min each to speak.

01:08:12.000 --> 01:08:29.000

Then we are we're hearing 15 people maximum because of oh, not even 15, because let's assume that there are a couple of them need interpretation. so we're not even hearing 15 people that and I told

01:08:29.000 --> 01:08:41.000

you know that that is a that's a that's a nibble off of the people who clearly would be interested in in speaking?

01:08:41.000 --> 01:08:59.000

Do we want to to do that, or do we want to just leave the chat on as it sounds like it was in the district that that Victoria saw allow her some chat discussion.

01:08:59.000 --> 01:09:06.000

Not have those people speaking out loud, and then say, Okay, we want a separate.

01:09:06.000 --> 01:09:14.000

We. You know we can have a separate meeting. That is just for speaking rather than just having, you know 13 people,

01:09:14.000 --> 01:09:21.000

Randomly chosen to speak. I I I We could also just have the 13 people.

01:09:21.000 --> 01:09:24.000

And of course we can see if we can extend it.

01:09:24.000 --> 01:09:37.000

But but from what i'm hearing from from face you know there may be some flexibility as far as going over a little bit, but they're not what from what i'm hearing.

01:09:37.000 --> 01:09:43.000

They're not going to allow this team to go you know another hour.

01:09:43.000 --> 01:09:45.000

It's it's not gonna it's not gonna happen

01:09:45.000 --> 01:09:49.000

I think we would need. We would need to call our own meeting to to do that.

01:09:49.000 --> 01:10:03.000

Whitney, that's about the asset so like you said we could call our own meeting. i'm thinking if we do call our all meeting, I guess we'll say give people the time to watch reporting and give us enough time

01:10:03.000 --> 01:10:14.000

to get interpretation, because I really think that Karen cool, fine, first language is in English need to be able to speak as well, or you know, like those one.

01:10:14.000 --> 01:10:18.000

Well, so I I I really think that would be appreciated.

01:10:18.000 --> 01:10:25.000

If you know, hold another forum, at least give us enough time to get interpretation.

01:10:25.000 --> 01:10:42.000

Unfortunately, there isn't enough time to get like we had schedule, another special meeting like Isn't there a way we could schedule another meeting for to get interpretation, or just like now it the the C* process

01:10:42.000 --> 01:10:53.000

could happen as early as the thirty-first of May, and we need 10 business days in order to arrange for internation.

01:10:53.000 --> 01:10:58.000

So, unless we did it on our own, which has happened in the past.

01:10:58.000 --> 01:11:13.000

Then it it won't happen even though they'll they'll say, Oh, you know we they'll try to do it in less than 10 business if they won't it may thirty-first the holiday is

01:11:13.000 --> 01:11:20.000

here the thirtieth thirties. Okay, Thank you.

01:11:20.000 --> 01:11:29.000

So we we may. We may just have to do our best, and not, and and not have a who is next?

01:11:29.000 --> 01:11:40.000

Kelly, I just really wanna strongly advocate for at least people having the ability to to chat during this process.

01:11:40.000 --> 01:11:45.000

And as Victoria said, I just really want to cosine what she said, and I think that makes a lot of sense.

01:11:45.000 --> 01:11:53.000

And if we want to have a second extension where they said that face that they might not go further.

01:11:53.000 --> 01:12:06.000

I if they can't arrange it then I mean we certainly have access to zoom accounts that can accommodate thousands of people, and we can certainly do it ourselves.

01:12:06.000 --> 01:12:10.000

If face won't provide a venue in a space for people to talk, we can create our own.

01:12:10.000 --> 01:12:15.000

We're not limited to face who doesn't do a good job to begin with.

01:12:15.000 --> 01:12:19.000

So. I just wanna call that out, and also on this council.

01:12:19.000 --> 01:12:35.000

We have 5 languages spoken between most of us, and if we need to have Mandarin and and Bengali, I I know that we have some amazing people in this 30,000 plus district, that we live in that there are people

01:12:35.000 --> 01:12:42.000

that would look forward to volunteering their time to make sure that their community members that speak their language are heard.

01:12:42.000 --> 01:12:47.000

And we have sitting Council Members speak 5 languages I can name between us.

01:12:47.000 --> 01:12:58.000

That would be more than willing, and have helped out in these situations so I don't think that's the reason either

01:12:58.000 --> 01:13:10.000

Fatima. I just want to mention for people who need interpretation, and for also those parent to hear their voice.

01:13:10.000 --> 01:13:15.000

How about like? for the interpretators like to interpretate?

01:13:15.000 --> 01:13:22.000

And have the question on the chat while on the presentation or joining them.

01:13:22.000 --> 01:13:26.000

I'm sorry the introduction of the candidate so that's way.

01:13:26.000 --> 01:13:38.000

We don't waste time interest rate the question and we have them all on the chats. when the the community member time to ask questions.

01:13:38.000 --> 01:13:48.000

We do, we will have those questions ready on the chat parts, and we can just like we read those questions.

01:13:48.000 --> 01:13:58.000

I'm not , i'm not i'm not exactly sure what your what your suggests can you can you say it again?

01:13:58.000 --> 01:14:05.000

What i'm saying is like if we Have concern about time, and we will not have interpretation later.

01:14:05.000 --> 01:14:15.000

How about those people and parents who have like, who need the interpretation to have their question already on the chat?

01:14:15.000 --> 01:14:26.000

3 during the introduction, or while like the meeting so by the end. if, like, we do have time, we can read those questions from the chats.

01:14:26.000 --> 01:14:32.000

So we we we'll have all of the questions already. tonight.

01:14:32.000 --> 01:14:51.000

Hopefully. so. so the chat will be open for discussion, but not for for questions, and the chat will be open, you know, during after the candidates have have left for for discussion.

01:14:51.000 --> 01:14:58.000

The the won't the questions I mean as is you know the questions as we talked about last week.

01:14:58.000 --> 01:15:12.000

The questions would be gathered in advance and we have we have you know, 50 or 60 questions to to to choose from So not I don't think that's gonna take any time away in the in the meeting okay and one

01:15:12.000 --> 01:15:22.000

question, maybe like a little bit for for you as President Castle is the face, or do you offer any kind of training for those Town Hall for President Castle?

01:15:22.000 --> 01:15:37.000

How to conduct us. So Town Hall, and like a a a closed, all like door for like problems, or for like the meeting not to go smoothly?

01:15:37.000 --> 01:15:53.000

Or did anybody suggest that kind of training or no one?

01:15:53.000 --> 01:15:56.000

And a runner show and

01:15:56.000 --> 01:16:11.000

And a and a runner show, and the for them and and learning times will be sort of facilitating the the meeting.

01:16:11.000 --> 01:16:14.000

So I mean, I think I mean we could ask Victoria.

01:16:14.000 --> 01:16:20.000

I know Gail also. was on one of these meetings already.

01:16:20.000 --> 01:16:30.000

It seems like they're being run pretty smoothly i'm not terribly concerned about about that.

01:16:30.000 --> 01:16:36.000

But I mean I I did ask. I will tell you that I asked today about

01:16:36.000 --> 01:16:45.000

The chat and chat moderation, because we as a counselor not used to having the chat open, and I am a little bit.

01:16:45.000 --> 01:17:03.000

I do get a little worried that. they're could be things you know people could say inappropriate things on the chat, or I don't know and and I think that learning times or face will provide some some help with

01:17:03.000 --> 01:17:12.000

that depth, so I am a little bit torn about timing

01:17:12.000 --> 01:17:17.000

If we are to have a public comment session.

01:17:17.000 --> 01:17:25.000

I hear I I am luth to ask parents to come out multiple times for the same thing.

01:17:25.000 --> 01:17:29.000

It would have been great if we could I love the idea of just it's the same meeting.

01:17:29.000 --> 01:17:34.000

It's just on on a zoom on our zoom link instead of the learning Times platform.

01:17:34.000 --> 01:17:43.000

But it is Friday night and i'm very very torn I I also. we could, Bobby can't have interpretation.

01:17:43.000 --> 01:17:46.000

We would at least be able to people like esther was saying and Whitney W.

01:17:46.000 --> 01:17:51.000

They'll be happy. Have a chance to watch the video so on balance.

01:17:51.000 --> 01:18:00.000

I guess I I would be in favor of having it sometime next week, since we do have a little more time now between the C*.

01:18:00.000 --> 01:18:09.000

But still see utility in in I don't know in No, I I guess that's where I try to talk it out to you guys.

01:18:09.000 --> 01:18:23.000

I think it's probably better to to wait and then announce a proper meeting, and get the recording out to parents as well

01:18:23.000 --> 01:18:28.000

Ethan: Yeah. So just so. I got this clear. In those 30 min.

01:18:28.000 --> 01:18:39.000

The 2 options are that we have 15. Some odd people be able to talk, and, you know, spread what their opinions are, or have, like a silent time in which people are chatting.

01:18:39.000 --> 01:18:43.000

In that case, would it not be possible to have like the chat on?

01:18:43.000 --> 01:18:48.000

Wild people are like giving, expressing their opinion. Oh, yeah, yeah.

01:18:48.000 --> 01:19:00.000

The th, the the choices are chat and spoken comment or just chat

01:19:00.000 --> 01:19:17.000

Fatima, Do you have another another comment? Yes, I just wanna ask if we are all on board for the the form that's been sent like the draft for parents to show their imports, and also their engagement is it something

01:19:17.000 --> 01:19:22.000

that we all agree to move forward on. And if so, are we gonna like share that?

01:19:22.000 --> 01:19:33.000

Or present that in the big getting off the town hall and tell parents that's if any pants like will not have enough time to attend.

01:19:33.000 --> 01:19:35.000

The whole meeting, and they just send their questions on this form.

01:19:35.000 --> 01:19:44.000

And are we able also to share this form to parents the like social media?

01:19:44.000 --> 01:19:58.000

So if there is another session or there is left question, we can a a a no more, or gets an idea if we need another meeting.

01:19:58.000 --> 01:20:08.000

Thank you for team up for bringing that up, because that is absolutely another topic that I did not have on the agenda that we do need to finalize tonight.

01:20:08.000 --> 01:20:15.000

I had sent you all a draft of the feedback form, and we do need to to agree on that.

01:20:15.000 --> 01:20:19.000

We had agreed in insert principle just last week in our meeting.

01:20:19.000 --> 01:20:23.000

That that was something that we could do but

01:20:23.000 --> 01:20:32.000

But the form itself. you know. I just sent out a couple of days ago, and i'll tell you if a team I've got my the idea.

01:20:32.000 --> 01:20:40.000

My idea was yes, to send it out at the meeting posted in the chat and then put it on our website.

01:20:40.000 --> 01:20:48.000

Get it out through social media along with the link to the video of the of the event, so that those 2 are paired with each other.

01:20:48.000 --> 01:21:01.000

And if you read through the draft of the feedback form, it does say, I tried to say that, you know, to urge people to watch the video before giving comments.

01:21:01.000 --> 01:21:12.000

But that they could give comments based on you know their own experience or knowledge of the candidates as well.

01:21:12.000 --> 01:21:22.000

Well, in, in my, in my opinion, and I would rather like the engagement from the community and the parent to be live and to have them engage during our meeting.

01:21:22.000 --> 01:21:36.000

So. so. this is just as an option for whoever did not attend, but like, we would rather give much time, and give the main a a consideration here to them.

01:21:36.000 --> 01:21:47.000

The parent to be engaged during the meeting that's my opinion

01:21:47.000 --> 01:22:02.000

I'm very happy to move on step up before we do. I just wanna get back to the the or finalize the last bit that 30 min whether we should have parents. speak

01:22:02.000 --> 01:22:10.000

I I agree that having 15 you know whoever's the fifth quickest 15 to raise their hands seems not the greatest.

01:22:10.000 --> 01:22:14.000

If we're gonna have another forum then let's probably do that.

01:22:14.000 --> 01:22:19.000

What I would love, though, is, is not to sort of squander that 30 min.

01:22:19.000 --> 01:22:21.000

Not that the chat would be squandering but you know we're.

01:22:21.000 --> 01:22:26.000

We just gonna be sort of sitting here. Well, people are typing in the chat.

01:22:26.000 --> 01:22:43.000

Can we just keep asking questions for that 30 min while the while people are in are typing in the chat, I mean, can we can utilize that , to the candidates

01:22:43.000 --> 01:23:02.000

At any rate, we can work on that but I I will tend to agree with you that that having 15 you know probably less than 15 parents speak isn't isn't the greatest good good Can we ask like the candidates if they are okay, to

01:23:02.000 --> 01:23:15.000

extend or to stay for another 30 min. Is that something we can ask as a Council member or no, I would not feel comfortable asking that.

01:23:15.000 --> 01:23:25.000

These, as you know, these, some of these candidates are, are Are going to be add multiple of these meetings in a short time.

01:23:25.000 --> 01:23:30.000

And but you know. Suppose we could ask for for anyone?

01:23:30.000 --> 01:23:40.000

I, if we asked them to stay, then we would be Then there would be no chat, or without the candidates, you know, we would be cutting cutting that off.

01:23:40.000 --> 01:23:49.000

But I don't know that the doe would be Okay, with this, anyway, with with asking them for for more.

01:23:49.000 --> 01:23:55.000

Yes, Kelly, so I mean, my suggestion would be to have a chat on the entire time.

01:23:55.000 --> 01:24:02.000

I think that they're nothing like we shouldn't be prohibiting any bit of community engagement that we can.

01:24:02.000 --> 01:24:12.000

I think that from the start of it this is people's period too, and I think it's important to see Chancellor sees transcripts, things I think it's important.

01:24:12.000 --> 01:24:16.000

They take all forms of engagement, any form that a parent chooses to engage, they should look at

01:24:16.000 --> 01:24:22.000

I also Jonathan just said it I mean I think that it's 7, 15, or 7 30.

01:24:22.000 --> 01:24:36.000

I can't remember there's another town hall that starts where a lot of these people are bouncing through And I think part of the reason why the do we will not supply a basic answer to my question when I asked can you give us

01:24:36.000 --> 01:24:49.000

a list of who's applying from what i've been able to gather before the invited certain superintendents to reapply for their jobs to can continue on to the next round of public engagement my

01:24:49.000 --> 01:24:54.000

loose math, which I can't certify into the doe gives me 2 more, which they want.

01:24:54.000 --> 01:25:00.000

But I'm still trying to reach out to those districts I believe, ironically enough, there were 32 individual candidates.

01:25:00.000 --> 01:25:19.000

That they had invited to Town hall rounds it's, not ironic, that we we have 32 districts. So sort of makes me question how much of original public engagement that the deal we even intended us to even

01:25:19.000 --> 01:25:26.000

have and I think that's really important and you know was this all kind of a farce where they had 32 individual candidates.

01:25:26.000 --> 01:25:31.000

They had going to multiple districts I think that's really telling

01:25:31.000 --> 01:25:36.000

I think the doe should be transparent. I think they should take ownership for their actions.

01:25:36.000 --> 01:25:44.000

I think they shouldn't try to suppress freely established taxpayer, and for like all of this, you can foil any of us.

01:25:44.000 --> 01:25:51.000

By the way, any sort of communication amongst ourselves, any communication with us to a do we employee?

01:25:51.000 --> 01:25:58.000

This is all public knowledge. but I do find it telling that there seems to be 32 candidates before now.

01:25:58.000 --> 01:26:07.000

They've! had to do to the outcry they've had to invite a few superintendents back, including our own district.

01:26:07.000 --> 01:26:16.000

But yeah, so it makes me wonder how much of this process they even had any intention of our voices actually mattering.

01:26:16.000 --> 01:26:24.000

Yes, too. Yeah, I agree. I think I think again we they can't tell us no, they just have no authority to say no.

01:26:24.000 --> 01:26:30.000

So, and if they're gonna say no they should say no in front of the community the deal is not even gonna be there.

01:26:30.000 --> 01:26:36.000

So you know they're basically loaning us out their their you know, subcontractor of learning times. if we say, please put the chat on.

01:26:36.000 --> 01:26:50.000

They're either going to do it because we said so or they're, not because the doe them do not put the chat on, and then the public has a right to know that the doe is limiting their their input at a town

01:26:50.000 --> 01:27:01.000

hall. So either way, I think as a council we should we should tomorrow night, ask for the chat to be on. if if we don't have the ability to do it ourselves.

01:27:01.000 --> 01:27:09.000

So i'm i'm gonna say that I I really would advocate not to have the chat on while the candidates are there.

01:27:09.000 --> 01:27:19.000

And speaking I do not think that it's appropriate for the candidates to be seeing the communities

01:27:19.000 --> 01:27:33.000

The communities input live, as as it happens, and I also think that we owe them the courtesy of of our attention, of our full attention to what they are saying, and not to the chat.

01:27:33.000 --> 01:27:47.000

It's The same reason, I think, one of the reasons why I I think we have chosen not to enable the chat in in our meetings is that it really enables us and and the public to give the full attention to

01:27:47.000 --> 01:27:58.000

the to what's happening in our meeting to the presenters to us, to to public speakers, and not have multiple conversations going on at the same at the same time.

01:27:58.000 --> 01:28:09.000

That would be my My position on the chat during the the other port port portion of the of the meeting. Kelly.

01:28:09.000 --> 01:28:27.000

Yes, still strongly disagree with you I Think that whoever remains in this district, or is new to this district leading at is going to every single month be subjected to any single parent community member that raises their hand and we'll have to

01:28:27.000 --> 01:28:34.000

listen to it, and I I don't know about you but I can listen read at the same time, and you know half of the chat.

01:28:34.000 --> 01:28:38.000

I don't even look out into the end anyways when Gail usually sends us transcripts.

01:28:38.000 --> 01:28:44.000

I have need to, or the recordings that's sort of when I can read the rest of it.

01:28:44.000 --> 01:29:00.000

But I just don't if they're that sensitive and tentative to to listen to what their potential parents and their district have to say, Then why are you applying for superintendent job to begin?

01:29:00.000 --> 01:29:05.000

With you, are We're not paid employees I if you want to pay me a superintendent salary?

01:29:05.000 --> 01:29:09.000

Then turn the chat on every time I speak and i'm happy to listen to it.

01:29:09.000 --> 01:29:11.000

Rollin superintendents are compensated very well you can't take the heat.

01:29:11.000 --> 01:29:24.000

Don't apply

01:29:24.000 --> 01:29:33.000

Yes, Victoria. i'd have to agree as you know we're all elected to represent the community and parents and stuff students and

01:29:33.000 --> 01:29:40.000

It's just part of part of the job and if it's not either constructive or just criticism that they receive.

01:29:40.000 --> 01:29:45.000

Now it's something that they may receive down the line so it's. I don't think it's particularly our job.

01:29:45.000 --> 01:29:51.000

This is our responsibility is to make sure that parents and the community are heard.

01:29:51.000 --> 01:30:01.000

So I think that's what we need to do and and do a good job of it, especially considering what happened last week, and the links that it gets to where we are right now.

01:30:01.000 --> 01:30:04.000

So I think it's the very least that we can do sample by voices.

01:30:04.000 --> 01:30:24.000

So yeah i'll just i'll ask Victoria and Kelly, Whether you think that it will help our communities to get to know these candidates better by having the chat on i'll just i'll i'll ask that

01:30:24.000 --> 01:30:40.000

I I whitney's next you can you can raise your

01:30:40.000 --> 01:30:48.000

Okay, So I I understand what you're concerns that potentially be with the chat.

01:30:48.000 --> 01:30:51.000

But I really don't think that the candidates will be reading the chat.

01:30:51.000 --> 01:30:55.000

I hope they won't be reading the chat while they're focused on their questions.

01:30:55.000 --> 01:31:01.000

Now, believe me, I get it some sometimes. I remember when we had our Harvard meeting.

01:31:01.000 --> 01:31:09.000

Yeah, here. if we think back to that, you know that august meeting it, it's some of the stuff that will stay in the chat.

01:31:09.000 --> 01:31:21.000

They get a little spicy. but I I think if you maybe just focus our attention on you know what the candidates are saying, and then utilize the chat to go with the transcript later on with the meeting just so that we

01:31:21.000 --> 01:31:31.000

can have longer time to collect those comment. so that the public can at least have more time to type the comments in there.

01:31:31.000 --> 01:31:38.000

And and we might be surprised with some of the remarks in the chat if they are.

01:31:38.000 --> 01:31:46.000

In fact, you know, paying attention to what the candidate is saying in the comments, in the chatter where I look into what the candidate is today.

01:31:46.000 --> 01:31:51.000

We we might, you know, clean get some. Get some gyms from from there also.

01:31:51.000 --> 01:32:00.000

But i'm of 2 lines but I definitely want to hear more from the public.

01:32:00.000 --> 01:32:05.000

I wanna hear more from the public. My hope is that the candidates will.

01:32:05.000 --> 01:32:12.000

All of the candidates will be given a fair chance we don't have anyone attacking candidates.

01:32:12.000 --> 01:32:20.000

You know, in the chat, and if we do see some of that, we do have the option to remove those party.

01:32:20.000 --> 01:32:26.000

I just like I just wanna make sure you know maybe and I want to be able to pay attention.

01:32:26.000 --> 01:32:30.000

I don't want to be distracted by that but again.

01:32:30.000 --> 01:32:48.000

I i'm hopeful that it will be respectful in the chat, and if we do move forward with including the chat, you know, would we have some council members that would feel comfortable with ensuring that if someone is disrespectful to

01:32:48.000 --> 01:32:52.000

chat are attacking You can't be in the chat that they are promptly removed.

01:32:52.000 --> 01:33:06.000

That that is what I really think should Take precedent because we don't want that to occur

01:33:06.000 --> 01:33:12.000

I'll i'll also just mention that we have we have multiple issues.

01:33:12.000 --> 01:33:23.000

Here we have multiple discussions happening and one is, we have 4 candidates, and we want to evaluate them.

01:33:23.000 --> 01:33:26.000

And we want to learn from them and get input on them.

01:33:26.000 --> 01:33:45.000

The other is that, as many of you have said, there is a a lot of resentment and anger about the way that this process was conducted, and the way that a that sitting superintendents were dealing from from K

01:33:45.000 --> 01:33:59.000

intention. at the beginning of the process. and I am, you know we certainly want to hear community members out on both of those issues.

01:33:59.000 --> 01:34:07.000

I do wonder whether that second issue might take over the chat.

01:34:07.000 --> 01:34:16.000

You know, rather than the issue of of listening to these these candidates, and I I i'll just put that out there.

01:34:16.000 --> 01:34:31.000

Correct kelly i'd like to make a motion for a vote on whether or not we keep the chat on with witness provision of some of us stepping up to volunteer and i'm happy to be one of those

01:34:31.000 --> 01:34:38.000

people like somebody saying derogatory that sort of thing i'd like to make a motion to take a vote for

01:34:38.000 --> 01:34:45.000

Us having chat during the duration of the Town Hall second for team a second.

01:34:45.000 --> 01:34:55.000

Can we do It Just allows for discussion on the on the accounting on the motion.

01:34:55.000 --> 01:35:04.000

So Now we now we go into discussion the motion is on the table, and we'll we'll discuss the the the motion.

01:35:04.000 --> 01:35:12.000

I will. I will just say in advance, We can only ask for this to happen.

01:35:12.000 --> 01:35:26.000

Okay, Michelle. Well, so my question actually goes to, I guess what you would, suggesting. What?

01:35:26.000 --> 01:35:30.000

What do we have control over? Oh, it regarded with regard to this meeting, I mean.

01:35:30.000 --> 01:35:37.000

And if we have control over it, you know, then we have options.

01:35:37.000 --> 01:35:41.000

If even to monitor it. Do we have options to do that?

01:35:41.000 --> 01:35:49.000

You know exactly what What do we have before us that's That's what I really have a question of this is something that's run by learning time.

01:35:49.000 --> 01:35:57.000

Or face, or whatever? what? what controls, or what options do we have for this session?

01:35:57.000 --> 01:36:08.000

Did they arbitrarily say, or put out in the rules? I don't know if I saw that that the chat would be closed during the questions, and that it would only be open, or we had the option to open it later

01:36:08.000 --> 01:36:15.000

you know how specific was where their instructions to us.

01:36:15.000 --> 01:36:23.000

So I was given clear. I thought that was clear to me.

01:36:23.000 --> 01:36:27.000

But why are we ask Juliana? if you're there?

01:36:27.000 --> 01:36:30.000

And you want to raise your hand. Perhaps you could speak to that from the face side.

01:36:30.000 --> 01:36:44.000

I realized that we have Council members who are who are who believe that we can, you know, perhaps take an initiative without you know, aside from this.

01:36:44.000 --> 01:36:51.000

But please go ahead, Juliana. hi! everyone! Good evening.

01:36:51.000 --> 01:36:58.000

So I think that Christina is really open to to see hearing that.

01:36:58.000 --> 01:37:02.000

Yes, there is. I have heard that there is a great there.

01:37:02.000 --> 01:37:11.000

There is a desire for uniformity. The intention is that the chat is turned off for the first part of the meeting.

01:37:11.000 --> 01:37:29.000

That's what has been arranged with learning time format that's been set up. and I also think that it's important to note that face sees the cec is taking the lead on these meetings but does not see

01:37:29.000 --> 01:37:35.000

this as the Cec's meeting It's it's not a meeting of the Cec.

01:37:35.000 --> 01:37:43.000

You you all are taking leadership of this meeting but it's not an actual meeting of the Cec.

01:37:43.000 --> 01:37:56.000

So The ultimate decision in terms of format like face sees that is something that's sort of been code determined between Deputy Chancellor Black Friends office.

01:37:56.000 --> 01:38:03.000

The division of school leadership, and in our office

01:38:03.000 --> 01:38:09.000

But i'll ask

01:38:09.000 --> 01:38:23.000

Okay, next is Fatima confused like if the chat will be enough.

01:38:23.000 --> 01:38:34.000

So when the the

01:38:34.000 --> 01:38:52.000

And also we need to take in consideration that's a lot of community member in our districts are upset and angry that they feel they're not in the loop and involved in boss and least we can do hear their voice.

01:38:52.000 --> 01:39:03.000

Even if we don't have enough time to listen to them or can leave it open.

01:39:03.000 --> 01:39:24.000

And of course, we can balance It's kind of things that need to be removed and to keep it, and proactive like our community,

01:39:24.000 --> 01:39:32.000

Kelly to that point to Juliana.

01:39:32.000 --> 01:39:50.000

Then, if this is not a Cc. meeting this is a face meeting or whatever they want to address it, then I want Christina to write an official statement to this district to let us know that they they're the reason the faces the reason that they are

01:39:50.000 --> 01:40:02.000

denying public engagement. and we've asked and we're about to take a vote, because the motion still on the table which i'm pretty sure it's gonna pass that they are denying the right to have the

01:40:02.000 --> 01:40:07.000

chat open. I would like that in writing. I would like to be able to send that out to our entire community.

01:40:07.000 --> 01:40:14.000

I will make everything public while we're at it Christina owns owes me some replies to a lot of emails.

01:40:14.000 --> 01:40:18.000

So i'd like to see those too since we're we're talking about fees here.

01:40:18.000 --> 01:40:28.000

Again. I will not stop advocating this community who has had enough of the way that we have been treated the way that we've been minimized.

01:40:28.000 --> 01:40:38.000

The way that our emails are constantly just just because you don't want to reply to your job. your entire job is to reply.

01:40:38.000 --> 01:40:45.000

People are sick and tired of it. i've had enough of it, do your jobs, do it Well, you're paid.

01:40:45.000 --> 01:40:59.000

Do it, and i'm sick and tired of this like i'm not fighting over basic things like If this Cec is asking for a basic courtesy where the majority of our Council votes where the public has been to have their voices hurt

01:40:59.000 --> 01:41:09.000

and participate in this process which is their right to have done and we deny them this Isn't gonna be on us. Then we're gonna say the Cec.

01:41:09.000 --> 01:41:17.000

Voted to give you that right and this is exactly the office and the email addresses and the people involved that denied you this right once again.

01:41:17.000 --> 01:41:20.000

So you reach out to them, and we'll all do it together.

01:41:20.000 --> 01:41:26.000

These are the people that you need to go after and I'm sick and tired of it, fighting over basic rights for our community.

01:41:26.000 --> 01:41:32.000

I'm done with it it's disgusting really it's shameful.

01:41:32.000 --> 01:41:38.000

Yeah, I mean I'm gonna also push back on this being not being a Cc. meeting.

01:41:38.000 --> 01:41:50.000

If it's not a Cec meeting why does the chancellor say that Ccs are hosting town hall, Why are we creating soires and publicizing them? The doe isn't even going to be there the only way?

01:41:50.000 --> 01:41:57.000

In which this is This is a doe meeting besides barking orders about what we can and can't do is that they're having learning Times do it.

01:41:57.000 --> 01:42:04.000

That's the only way that this is in in any way not our meeting, and we'd be happy to move it.

01:42:04.000 --> 01:42:10.000

I'm sure to our zoom but this is it's the questions are being chosen by by the by Us.

01:42:10.000 --> 01:42:15.000

The you know it. It's I don't know in what way This is not a Cc.

01:42:15.000 --> 01:42:22.000

Meeting it, you know it It's a it's a easy meeting It's just easy Town hall, or it's a town hall being hosted by the Cec.

01:42:22.000 --> 01:42:27.000

So and a 100% agree with Fatima that this is the least we can do.

01:42:27.000 --> 01:42:32.000

Given the outcry last week about lack of parent engagement.

01:42:32.000 --> 01:42:38.000

We certainly can ask, and if they if we're denied then let it be a denial.

01:42:38.000 --> 01:42:43.000

But but why wouldn't we at least ask for our parents who want to be heard?

01:42:43.000 --> 01:42:57.000

That's what I don't understand Why, we would Why, we would not even fight for them to have that extra voice, and as far as uniform that goes out the window when you give us 4 candidates and the exact same time you can't say it's.

01:42:57.000 --> 01:43:09.000

It's you know that they're striving for uniformity when there's we we have double the candidates and time that half of most of the of the districts have so it's it's just it's a

01:43:09.000 --> 01:43:12.000

little disingenuous. Not you, Juliana.

01:43:12.000 --> 01:43:18.000

We love you juliana you know to say Oh, we're striving for uniformity like it's It's that's out the window.

01:43:18.000 --> 01:43:26.000

Our districts are different sizes. Where? why would you give us the same amount of time as a district that has 12,000 people to students?

01:43:26.000 --> 01:43:33.000

So I I absolutely agree also with kelly that that you know we we don't.

01:43:33.000 --> 01:43:48.000

We're not gonna go gentle because the doe says we don't have to they're not the boss of us

01:43:48.000 --> 01:44:00.000

Fatina, is your hand still? I feel like by just what I heard.

01:44:00.000 --> 01:44:15.000

Hi there, the Cec. will come back the the town. hall or we are just peppet that's been used by the Dewey, and we're gonna take the blame later. so we need to know some clarification if the mirror.

01:44:15.000 --> 01:44:23.000

Will be conducted by Cec. or by do we if It's going to be conducted by the olympics.

01:44:23.000 --> 01:44:29.000

Then we need to let now all the community member, parents, and all the districts.

01:44:29.000 --> 01:44:45.000

In the beginning of the meeting meeting that's this meeting is conducted by deal and face so like everybody knows what's going on so like to to be on the same page, and also to be transparent with the parents and our district,

01:44:45.000 --> 01:45:00.000

and to be honest with you there is a lot of things that it's not fair how our districts we will have for candidates, but we will have the same time as another district that's have only 2 candidate that's

01:45:00.000 --> 01:45:04.000

that's not fair. I mean if we will come back to meet them.

01:45:04.000 --> 01:45:12.000

Let's have constructive town hall where community voice will be here, and we're willing to give our time.

01:45:12.000 --> 01:45:25.000

So everybody will have a chance to engage on this town hall or there is just like, let's the doy and face come back this meeting, and that's it, and it's gonna be done by them and it's clear to

01:45:25.000 --> 01:45:33.000

the community. what's going on We need to know some clarification before the Town Hall, please?

01:45:33.000 --> 01:45:41.000

Thank you, Esther. Yeah, in the in the interest of time.

01:45:41.000 --> 01:45:47.000

I just wonder if we personally it's really not a hill to die on if the chat is on or off.

01:45:47.000 --> 01:46:01.000

So and the the other thing is basically it comes to asking to have it on, and then that can be denied or not.

01:46:01.000 --> 01:46:15.000

And it's and that's sort of where it is the other thing, i'll, and practically we should also be aware that if it's on we might not be the ones who are in control of anything because we are not formerly I mean

01:46:15.000 --> 01:46:19.000

we we might not have the tools on our end to remove anyone from the chat.

01:46:19.000 --> 01:46:25.000

So technically. if the vendor is in charge that's another thing.

01:46:25.000 --> 01:46:29.000

But so, in the addition to asking the chat on, we should also be asking.

01:46:29.000 --> 01:46:35.000

Then can we be Can we be co-hosting, or whatever which you know?

01:46:35.000 --> 01:46:42.000

We? I can already assume what the answer is. but the the

01:46:42.000 --> 01:46:48.000

Oh, the only word of warning is I want. I is of Council Member.

01:46:48.000 --> 01:46:51.000

I really want to focus on what the candidates have to say.

01:46:51.000 --> 01:46:58.000

So I I would definitely this i'm not want to volunteer for any looking at the chat.

01:46:58.000 --> 01:47:06.000

I can do that later after. but or after candidates have gone and spoken.

01:47:06.000 --> 01:47:09.000

Go ahead, I believe, and maybe Juliana can tell us that.

01:47:09.000 --> 01:47:15.000

That learning time is, is willing to moderate that chat, so we would not have to be the ones to do that.

01:47:15.000 --> 01:47:31.000

Is that correct, Juliana Yes, that's correct so none of us, in fact, would have to take our attention away from from the the candidates in order to to have the chat be moderated.

01:47:31.000 --> 01:47:44.000

And yes, county i would just like to make a stipulation out of if they're moderating the chat that it's not up to the discretion that the rule is, if somebody's being derogatory or if

01:47:44.000 --> 01:47:56.000

someone is saying like a curse, word, but like it shouldn't be open to them to be like, Maybe I don't like this call. But if I just it's just gonna be really clear that it there needs to be some sort of a

01:47:56.000 --> 01:48:01.000

stipulation with that I just I don't I don't like that.

01:48:01.000 --> 01:48:07.000

They're gonna try to filter in what they want I mean. I think a curse word and a derogatory word that's like a hard stop.

01:48:07.000 --> 01:48:15.000

But other than that people should be able to express them

01:48:15.000 --> 01:48:27.000

Maybe, Giuliani, you can. You can let us know what the but the moderation guidelines will be for for learning times.

01:48:27.000 --> 01:48:31.000

I think that cursor is and drugatory comments were definitely the baseline.

01:48:31.000 --> 01:48:38.000

If there's anything else I like if you want me to tell them that for the Cc.

01:48:38.000 --> 01:48:55.000

30 town hall. they should follow any additional criteria or or or leave anything that doesn't include a first word then like I can tell them that

01:48:55.000 --> 01:49:02.000

I'm particularly worried about harassment of various sorts so that's that's i'll just say Julia.

01:49:02.000 --> 01:49:07.000

Yes,

01:49:07.000 --> 01:49:17.000

Juliet. sorry show you go ahead. since we have a motion on the floor, I just want to clarify Kelly with the language of it.

01:49:17.000 --> 01:49:23.000

Was, Was it that we request for the chat to be on, or that we turn it on
It sounds like that.

01:49:23.000 --> 01:49:25.000

The duration of the meeting, not just like the last 30 min.

01:49:25.000 --> 01:49:41.000

The duration of the meeting we have the chat feature enabled, and the agreement is that obviously, if somebody starts cursing or is derogatory, then Juliana just said that the learning Times will remove that

01:49:41.000 --> 01:49:47.000

person from it, which I think is obviously a nice Yeah, thank you, Julia.

01:49:47.000 --> 01:49:56.000

No no cause. The only problem I see with the motion is that it sounds like we don't have the authorities to turn it on, but we do have the authority to ask for it to meet or not.

01:49:56.000 --> 01:50:04.000

I think it's important that we take a vote and if we vote. yes, that we want the chat feature enabled with those stipulations.

01:50:04.000 --> 01:50:11.000

I think that's important that they hear it yeah yeah yeah I just I do.

01:50:11.000 --> 01:50:15.000

We know the answer for that question. do it I don't know if we do, or don't.

01:50:15.000 --> 01:50:25.000

Oh, sorry. I just wanna say that all i'm asking I think the motion, The language of our motion needs to be super specific, so that, like, cause we don't wanna make a motion to have it.

01:50:25.000 --> 01:50:40.000

Turn on. we don't have the authority to turn on Maybe I don't know if what your motion is is that we want that this is what our ask is right, that we're all in agreement that this is what we want we're making a motion to vote on whether or not

01:50:40.000 --> 01:50:47.000

we strongly tell space to with those people stipulation of the derogatory and cursing got it.

01:50:47.000 --> 01:50:58.000

Thank you.

01:50:58.000 --> 01:51:06.000

Is there any more any other comments? Okay, Juliet, will you take a a roll call?

01:51:06.000 --> 01:51:13.000

Quote, please, sure, can we can. We can , verify the language of the motion.

01:51:13.000 --> 01:51:23.000

Yeah, please. thanks. So Kelly. do you wanna do that since it's your motion. Sorry?

01:51:23.000 --> 01:51:29.000

Yes, the motion would be that we are telling, not suggesting.

01:51:29.000 --> 01:51:42.000

We are telling Face that we want the chat feature on the duration of our town hall with a stiffulation that if somebody curses or is derogatory that we remove them.

01:51:42.000 --> 01:51:52.000

Okay, Deborah Alexander in favor, Christina Berwatt in favor.

01:51:52.000 --> 01:52:01.000

Kelly Craig in favor. Jonathan Greenberg opposed Juliet Norhji in favor.

01:52:01.000 --> 01:52:07.000

Fatima look roughly in favor. Victoria Medellas in favor.

01:52:07.000 --> 01:52:20.000

Michelle Moore in favor money for those in favor.

01:52:20.000 --> 01:52:31.000

Whitney Tucson in favor a server hall in favor, and even one in favor.

01:52:31.000 --> 01:52:39.000

Okay, I think we've voted in favor okay motion passes Juliano.

01:52:39.000 --> 01:52:53.000

I we'll trust you to take that request that that statement to to learning times and face for Us i'm sorry, Jonathan.

01:52:53.000 --> 01:53:23.000

Why didn't you vote? Okay, We still have not decided whether to allow for speaking and I we could decide this by consensus or or we could have a motion to allow or not allow for speaking during the the last 30

01:53:29.000 --> 01:53:37.000

minutes of the of the of the meeting. yes julia i'm sorry.

01:53:37.000 --> 01:53:41.000

I just wanna clarify again what what options we laid up?

01:53:41.000 --> 01:53:45.000

We laid out. What would it be to either? Sorry, Can we just clarify that?

01:53:45.000 --> 01:53:53.000

I know we discussed it. the options are After the candidates leave the space.

01:53:53.000 --> 01:54:03.000

We could simply allow for the chat to continue for the 30 min, or we could allow for hands to be raised.

01:54:03.000 --> 01:54:12.000

So what would happen is, hands would be raised learning times, would see who raises their hands and choose randomly among those people who raised their hands.

01:54:12.000 --> 01:54:19.000

And we would probably get you know as we said let's say between 10 and 15.

01:54:19.000 --> 01:54:26.000

People so seeking

01:54:26.000 --> 01:54:43.000

Yes, Julia, so sorry, so like if we don't do that? What's gonna happen with those 30 min? Can you hear their comments and discussion in the in the chat? Actually, Com.

01:54:43.000 --> 01:54:49.000

Oh, I should say so! This is all coming fast to me.

01:54:49.000 --> 01:54:56.000

But the chat, the default for the chat for these meetings, and I don't know if Victoria saw this in the meeting that you are in.

01:54:56.000 --> 01:55:02.000

But the default was that the chat would be one way that the chat would be to us.

01:55:02.000 --> 01:55:06.000

The people would be able to chat comments to us.

01:55:06.000 --> 01:55:25.000

The the the the for the you know during the during the after the after the candidates had left. and now we're saying maybe for the whole time, So that is maybe not what we expected, because normally when we have chat that's

01:55:25.000 --> 01:55:32.000

not what is what it looks like? but it would look

01:55:32.000 --> 01:55:38.000

It would. In any case, if if we leave it as it is, it would look like that.

01:55:38.000 --> 01:55:42.000

It would just be people would be able to keep leaving comments in the chat for us.

01:55:42.000 --> 01:55:50.000

, after the candidates had left, and then it would be basically silence.

01:55:50.000 --> 01:56:11.000

We could also ask learning times to enable a 2 way

01:56:11.000 --> 01:56:20.000

So yes, yes, for team at your next i'm just sure.

01:56:20.000 --> 01:56:30.000

John, is it the question that you said like, if we yeah like the the the voting or the motion that we just it says, no.

01:56:30.000 --> 01:56:39.000

By the face. Is it like if it's just 30 min or is that was question.

01:56:39.000 --> 01:56:43.000

The question is whether we allow people to speak during those 30 min.

01:56:43.000 --> 01:56:50.000

That is the that is the question.

01:56:50.000 --> 01:56:58.000

On. But, Whitney, can you mute, please? Kelly?

01:56:58.000 --> 01:57:11.000

I was just gonna say that if they're doing it either i'm assuming they're using a webinar feature, and you can enable chat i'm sure in the next 24 h that a paid

01:57:11.000 --> 01:57:16.000

contractor by the doe who's generously paid and they're also the ones that messed up the last time we attempted to.

01:57:16.000 --> 01:57:26.000

They attempted to hold a town hall that was contracted which think it was the only time Jonathan and I agree on anything with a big, a terrible job.

01:57:26.000 --> 01:57:39.000

But I I certainly think that in 24 h they will know how to easily activate their public chat feature, and if they don't I have a team member of mine that can show them how to do it not it's really easy to do it takes

01:57:39.000 --> 01:57:43.000

2 s.

01:57:43.000 --> 01:57:56.000

So they they can absolutely activate the chat we we've been told that the the the issue is wha. okay, let's let's deal with the the speaking first let's let's let's decide on whether to

01:57:56.000 --> 01:58:00.000

live speaking first, and then we can get into the the question about the chat.

01:58:00.000 --> 01:58:05.000

Is there any i'm fine not having no speakers during that today?

01:58:05.000 --> 01:58:12.000

So i'm gonna i'm going to make a motion to not allow speak speakers during that 30Â min.

01:58:12.000 --> 01:58:22.000

And only to have the chat turned on i'll second Okay, thank you, Dan.

01:58:22.000 --> 01:58:27.000

Are there? Are there any comments? Yes, Michelle, Well, just a clarification.

01:58:27.000 --> 01:58:34.000

So this is the third minute the the small truncated community part. right?

01:58:34.000 --> 01:58:44.000

So we're gonna leave the chat on But the chat so for the 30Â min period, I understand, during you know, during when the candidates are there, it'll just be one way.

01:58:44.000 --> 01:58:52.000

But then also. Then, during the 30Â min period we'll just be there.

01:58:52.000 --> 01:58:57.000

So we're just gonna be looking at the chat is not respond. So there's we're just gonna be reading comments.

01:58:57.000 --> 01:59:05.000

I mean what happens during that time? folks are gonna be making comments and looking at our heads, or it's just so, you know.

01:59:05.000 --> 01:59:13.000

Just just i'm just a little confused just a little confused

01:59:13.000 --> 01:59:25.000

I mean actually what Michelle said is correct. but if if councils have decided councils have decided this all 3 ways, and if councils have decided just to leave the chat on

01:59:25.000 --> 01:59:32.000

Then there's silence, and the cc members are just reading the chat. No, no!

01:59:32.000 --> 01:59:41.000

The The other question is just about this. oh, or maybe I misunderstood the question, Michelle, with your question about the one way, Chat.

01:59:41.000 --> 01:59:48.000

Well, it was. it was webinar that chat just stays one way, and it's so. does this mean that there's nothing interactive during that.

01:59:48.000 --> 01:59:58.000

You know that 30 min piece, and I think she answered it like if we have the chat on for folks to to chat during the 30 min period.

01:59:58.000 --> 02:00:02.000

There. it's not interactive it's not that's what I meant.

02:00:02.000 --> 02:00:13.000

I'm sorry, thank you. that was my question Okay, kelly I mean my point of having a transcript at the end of it.

02:00:13.000 --> 02:00:26.000

So people can see is that if the Chancellor is doing his job, if the department or the division of school leadership is doing their job, and the face is doing their job, then they should want to read that as part of the process of

02:00:26.000 --> 02:00:38.000

watching these Obviously we can't expect the chancellor to be on all of these town halls, and i'm assuming we're recording these for also for people in their office to be able to view well, they should also read the

02:00:38.000 --> 02:00:48.000

transcripts, if they want to have a comprehensive voice. and if you know in the letter that they sent, that this is all about parent and public engagement, then engage in read what people have to say. hey?

02:00:48.000 --> 02:00:56.000

Listen to the reportings I mean it's not that complicated but I think it's important to give a space for people to be able to say what they want.

02:00:56.000 --> 02:01:02.000

Respectfully, without derogatory and personing

02:01:02.000 --> 02:01:07.000

So, as I. I said, I communicated to you all this week.

02:01:07.000 --> 02:01:14.000

All of this engagement. All of this input is for us.

02:01:14.000 --> 02:01:20.000

The doe is not probably going to to read the chat.

02:01:20.000 --> 02:01:37.000

They are not probably going to listen to the recording. They are not probably going to read all of the forum responses that we get of input what this is is for us to to hear from our communities.

02:01:37.000 --> 02:01:51.000

And then in the C* process to give input to the Chancellor based on our experience and the input that we've that we've received.

02:01:51.000 --> 02:02:00.000

So all of this is coming to us. that is, that is what the doe has has told has told me, and I want to see it.

02:02:00.000 --> 02:02:02.000

We should all want to see it. They should also want to see it too.

02:02:02.000 --> 02:02:07.000

These are the people that the parents and the families and the principals and the schools and their district.

02:02:07.000 --> 02:02:13.000

Everybody's voice here matters, and it's concerning that they don't want to hear everybody that they don't.

02:02:13.000 --> 02:02:15.000

It takes 2Â s to have there's 32 districts assigned.

02:02:15.000 --> 02:02:23.000

There's Lord nose there's hundreds of people that work at the Deale Office, assign a couple people to each district, and actually read and watch.

02:02:23.000 --> 02:02:27.000

They should watch it. it's concerning if they don't if they don't.

02:02:27.000 --> 02:02:33.000

I actually can somebody please disclose that to me that nobody's gonna watch this or read this because that's problem. that's correct, Kelly.

02:02:33.000 --> 02:02:45.000

Nobody is planning on watching or reading that's concerning and i'm really glad that the public now here's that so how much of the Town hall, and how much of the letter that came up from the chance that they're talking about our voices and our

02:02:45.000 --> 02:02:52.000

feedback actually matters. Nobody's going to take the time to hear us

02:02:52.000 --> 02:03:01.000

Yeah, just have a question. Chat means what exactly

02:03:01.000 --> 02:03:07.000

Correct. they can't see each other

02:03:07.000 --> 02:03:14.000

So this is. this is essentially a way of them giving feedback to us.

02:03:14.000 --> 02:03:24.000

During during this meeting. the chat is being used in that capacity not to have you know discussions among among themselves.

02:03:24.000 --> 02:03:39.000

I mean that's that's what the the plan is yes, yeah. that I mean it's more siloing like putting each parent in in their own breakout room I mean I it's all of this keeps adding

02:03:39.000 --> 02:03:50.000

up like in a math problem. Just it's just stinking You know the fact that the these are being talented as the means major means of engagement. new engagement that's never been done before.

02:03:50.000 --> 02:04:03.000

But no one's gonna hear it tree in a forest falling , and you know, a town hall has an implication of people getting together getting to a microphone and being able to speak if they if they're all in their own

02:04:03.000 --> 02:04:09.000

bubbles. I mean that's What the form is for the form is for private personal communications with us.

02:04:09.000 --> 02:04:12.000

The the chat should be a chat I mean that's that's the whole point.

02:04:12.000 --> 02:04:18.000

It's that's the community feel of It this is like I hate the sterile webinars.

02:04:18.000 --> 02:04:29.000

The doe gives, because you you just feel all alone that's not the kind of chat I was I was thinking we would have for sure.

02:04:29.000 --> 02:04:33.000

Yeah, I I I think we should. I think we should.

02:04:33.000 --> 02:04:36.000

When we turn the chat on, it should be the chat.

02:04:36.000 --> 02:04:47.000

So I just wanna remind us that we do have a motion on the floor about speaking to not allow for people to speak during that those hours.

02:04:47.000 --> 02:04:57.000

So Victoria and Kelly. Are your comments about speaking, or are they about the chat because we can get to the chat afterwards?

02:04:57.000 --> 02:05:03.000

Kelly is, it was it about speaking i'm pro any foreign people speaking so.

02:05:03.000 --> 02:05:09.000

I I would prefer people be heard and also again I just texted my tech person.

02:05:09.000 --> 02:05:11.000

It's really easy for them to enable a public facing chat.

02:05:11.000 --> 02:05:14.000

So if they tell you they can't do it that's not true.

02:05:14.000 --> 02:05:18.000

And I can actually have somebody in 15 min. call them and tell them how to do it.

02:05:18.000 --> 02:05:24.000

Okay, the if this wasn't a technical issue this was a a decision that was made.

02:05:24.000 --> 02:05:44.000

. Okay, Ethan. yes, I just think that if we have or if if it is actually a one way chat thing, it'd be more powerful if we have people talk, or the parents be able to talk. and they can.

02:05:44.000 --> 02:05:50.000

Also hear what other parents are thinking, so they can also you know form a more overall opinion.

02:05:50.000 --> 02:06:10.000

So I i'm pro talking, basically i'll just remind everyone that the reason one of the reasons why this motion is on the floor is because if we allow for talking, we will it will be allow for probably between 10 and 15 members of the

02:06:10.000 --> 02:06:16.000

public to talk only so that's that's that's the that is part of the reason why this motion is on the floor.

02:06:16.000 --> 02:06:22.000

Yes, Juliet. Yeah. Well, you kind of just answered it, but i'm just curious.

02:06:22.000 --> 02:06:34.000

I I I have to imagine there's a reason that you put the motion forward, but like, and i'm not thrilled about the prospect of their only being a dozen people being able to talk, but my instinct tells me

02:06:34.000 --> 02:06:42.000

that it's still better than none, even though it feels like I got to click the hand versus the winner, which i'm not happy about.

02:06:42.000 --> 02:06:48.000

But is there any other? And and then also, this bizarre chat thing is is frustrating.

02:06:48.000 --> 02:06:57.000

So I I echo even sentiments about like at least people can talk in, and you know, have an opportunity to hear each other's comments.

02:06:57.000 --> 02:07:03.000

But is there anything other else in particular? that makes you feel like and I don't mean to put you on the spot Jonathan.

02:07:03.000 --> 02:07:11.000

I'm just curious if there's something else in particular that makes you like not thrilled about that is it just that.

02:07:11.000 --> 02:07:18.000

It would only be limiting it to a certain number of people that would have the opportunity to talk.

02:07:18.000 --> 02:07:30.000

Yes, and that it could be more frustrating for people than than empowering, because many people who want to speak, and only a few of them actually win the win the lottery.

02:07:30.000 --> 02:07:42.000

And and actually get on there. but i've also and I've also said during this meeting that I that I I am anyway. Now i'll i'll i'll i'll just leave it at that.

02:07:42.000 --> 02:07:55.000

That's that's my but but you know the truth is this is it's not it's i'm i'm willing to to to to take back the motion if if there's a consensus here you know allowing 10

02:07:55.000 --> 02:08:03.000

people to speak you know random 10 people is is fine with me. it's not great, but it's it's fine.

02:08:03.000 --> 02:08:09.000

I'd rather us just come to a consensus and agree on something that actually have to vote on this.

02:08:09.000 --> 02:08:29.000

Yeah, , I disagree about one way chat because I don't see that, like a way of engaging the community, because life parents can ask the same question, but by allowing like the chat to be viewed by the remember

02:08:29.000 --> 02:08:34.000

sometimes people They don't come in the early meeting but they check those chat.

02:08:34.000 --> 02:08:45.000

They check the questions. They check what's going on and the This is something that I see like it's it's important like to to have it.

02:08:45.000 --> 02:08:58.000

That's that's all I wanna say thanks for team

02:08:58.000 --> 02:09:06.000

How that's gonna consider as an active or as positive engagement for for the parents.

02:09:06.000 --> 02:09:14.000

If only 10 or 15 people allowed them to speak in 30 min and have one way, chat.

02:09:14.000 --> 02:09:28.000

I don't see that, like a full engagement for parents So Yes, and we have been talking about all of this we've talked about, perhaps having another event where we would have more time for more parents.

02:09:28.000 --> 02:09:38.000

To speak. we've also talked about you know taking comments in the chat, and a form for engagement that people that people would be able to fill out.

02:09:38.000 --> 02:09:51.000

So there are many ways that we're talking about to allow community members to to give input esther if there's indeed consensus on the speaking.

02:09:51.000 --> 02:09:55.000

I wonder, and all the technical nurse can stand up.

02:09:55.000 --> 02:10:16.000

Is there a way to randomize? So instead of having people, the first person who who is able to it's different if you sit behind your computer, or if you have I asked this very question to face this afternoon, you know when

02:10:16.000 --> 02:10:30.000

when because I had the very same. thought I didn't want it to be a matter of like who's first on their raise hand button gets the gets to and so I said could it be could it be randomized and

02:10:30.000 --> 02:10:36.000

they went to learning times and the answers yes, so That's why some of that's that's how that would work. It would be.

02:10:36.000 --> 02:10:40.000

It would be randomized. Unfortunately, I asked about this as well.

02:10:40.000 --> 02:10:52.000

Those calling in I don't know I don't know whether there'll be a combination I don't know how it will work with people calling in over a phone line or calling in through interpretation I Don't know

02:10:52.000 --> 02:10:58.000

how any of that will will work. I can just hope that they find a way to to make it work.

02:10:58.000 --> 02:11:06.000

Yes, Kelly, just for clarity. Have you now resend it?

02:11:06.000 --> 02:11:12.000

Your motion to have no public speaking or motion still on the table.

02:11:12.000 --> 02:11:24.000

The motion is still on the table. I was waiting to hear from others to just to sense whether there's consensus, but I you know I'll i'll I'll send the the I will resume the motion if people say yes, we

02:11:24.000 --> 02:11:33.000

want. We want to have those 10 to 15 speakers you've already said that you that you you want that so you know

02:11:33.000 --> 02:11:44.000

We need sorry. yeah, I I think that we all know it's not perfect, and we, you know I don't want the parents to feel like they're not being hurt.

02:11:44.000 --> 02:12:00.000

So, even though it is , if we get teams I think it's something, and then, like you say we've all agree, or at least I have got the feeling that we want to have another meeting, for the public, to speak about

02:12:00.000 --> 02:12:06.000

, so I I don't think it's either or I think it's right now.

02:12:06.000 --> 02:12:15.000

We're just discussing a and and what the , Okay, So I rescind the the motion.

02:12:15.000 --> 02:12:24.000

And we will allow for speaking random randomized people and request.

02:12:24.000 --> 02:12:34.000

Please join on that. You know those those needing interpretation and those calling in through a phone line are included in that in that pool.

02:12:34.000 --> 02:12:40.000

For tomorrow. let's make a motion and I hate to do this guys, because it's getting late.

02:12:40.000 --> 02:12:52.000

But I just want to be clear about this because I think it's really important about the chat, so i'd like to make a motion that that we tell learning times to make the chat public facing 2 way whatever

02:12:52.000 --> 02:12:59.000

it's called not one way facing otherwise it's Well, I don't give a reason that that's my motion.

02:12:59.000 --> 02:13:09.000

Just so we're clear i'll second okay thanks for teama.

02:13:09.000 --> 02:13:14.000

So. I i'm probably not gonna win this this battle, but

02:13:14.000 --> 02:13:32.000

But when I would what I know this you know what what I would prefer is to have the one way Chat, while the while the candidates are on the are speaking, while they're in the room, and that way they will be you know they won't

02:13:32.000 --> 02:13:44.000

see it and people won't see it. and people can really focus on the questions and the answers. and then happy to have a two-way chat after the candidates leave.

02:13:44.000 --> 02:13:51.000

That's my that would be my sort of counter to that, Kelly.

02:13:51.000 --> 02:14:05.000

I absolutely oppose that. and I think that we need to create as many spaces for our community and our district to be heard, and need to continue to create an advocate for spaces.

02:14:05.000 --> 02:14:11.000

For, especially as something as important as this is for our community and families to be heard.

02:14:11.000 --> 02:14:19.000

I think anything less than that is us not doing our job I can't cosine it.

02:14:19.000 --> 02:14:27.000

I It's just an absolutely it's really easy for them to do. And I the fact that we're just trying to silence people.

02:14:27.000 --> 02:14:38.000

It's just it's not okay I mean again we all we already agreed tonight that we'll have someone monitoring if somebody's cursing or being derogatory but I don't see the chat unless I hit

02:14:38.000 --> 02:14:43.000

the button for the chat if you're talking and you can't, don't hit the button to read the chat.

02:14:43.000 --> 02:14:47.000

It's not that complicated, I I mean I think Juliet said it earlier.

02:14:47.000 --> 02:14:51.000

I don't think that people will be looking at the chat in the middle of them speaking.

02:14:51.000 --> 02:15:07.000

And again, you're gonna be whoever is the outcome of the of our new or current, or staying superintendent every month is subjected to a public forum of listening to anything anyone wants to say what's the difference

02:15:07.000 --> 02:15:18.000

what are we protecting them from I don't understand we've already agreed. No one's gonna curse with them, and no one's gonna be derogatory but give people a space

02:15:18.000 --> 02:15:25.000

Yes, Michelle. initially, I was gonna say that I was. I would I would.

02:15:25.000 --> 02:15:35.000

I was gonna agree with you. that and I probably still do that I didn't think that that chat should be 2 way facing while the candidates were speaking.

02:15:35.000 --> 02:15:43.000

However, i'm wondering did we not say that the chat itself is not going to be available to the candidates.

02:15:43.000 --> 02:15:56.000

It is is that is that true will they be able to see they won't be able to see the chat right if they won't be able to see the chat then it doesn't matter whether it's

02:15:56.000 --> 02:16:00.000

that's kind of the question here. yeah and if they don't.

02:16:00.000 --> 02:16:06.000

Then it does they? then it should be you know the public can spur, you know, Have that conversation. If they're not gonna see it, and maybe they'll see the recording later.

02:16:06.000 --> 02:16:14.000

If they choose to cause i'm i'm assuming that we they compile the chat like they will do the video right, so that'll all be available.

02:16:14.000 --> 02:16:20.000

So folks can see it. so if they don't have access to the chat during that period.

02:16:20.000 --> 02:16:32.000

Then it really you know doesn't. matter that it's that it 2 way facing or whatever the term is, you know whether it's goes back to back and forth.

02:16:32.000 --> 02:16:37.000

Yes, Julia, we're talking about the chat specifically right?

02:16:37.000 --> 02:16:51.000

Whether it's one. Okay, you know my initial instinct was like, Obviously it should be open because I compare it to like an actual in person Town hall, but given given that kind of if i'm not mistaken, the purpose of

02:16:51.000 --> 02:16:58.000

this is for the public to give us right and we're able to to see the chat.

02:16:58.000 --> 02:17:06.000

Yeah, I do. I do have some concerns about people being able to express their opinions freely.

02:17:06.000 --> 02:17:14.000

And so you know. on second thought I, I actually can see the advantage to that.

02:17:14.000 --> 02:17:27.000

I think, with all of these different kind of decisions that we're making it's like a balancing test, and and i'm now leaning in and favor of that I don't I don't see that parents seeing other comments is going to be

02:17:27.000 --> 02:17:34.000

informative, necessarily to us

02:17:34.000 --> 02:17:39.000

Is is Michelle. your hand is is an old hand that's an old hand.

02:17:39.000 --> 02:17:50.000

Sorry. Okay. Yeah. Juliet, I I hear I hear that parents would be able to have the option to to write to just the panelists.

02:17:50.000 --> 02:18:00.000

So they if they wanted to give a private comment they could it wouldn't have to be public if they didn't if they did wanted to. and I hear you, I think that the purpose of the town hall is is

02:18:00.000 --> 02:18:03.000

multi-purpose is is multi-faceted.

02:18:03.000 --> 02:18:08.000

It's it's parent engaging it's not just like information. for us.

02:18:08.000 --> 02:18:19.000

It's meant to be a public forum as as public forums always were people hearing what other people think Normally we wouldn't have a chat.

02:18:19.000 --> 02:18:22.000

We'd have again a person at a microphone who would be giving comments.

02:18:22.000 --> 02:18:30.000

There'd be no kind of a chat they'd be giving public public discourse public verbal comment.

02:18:30.000 --> 02:18:36.000

Because we we aren't allowed that and because the doe is giving us this small space.

02:18:36.000 --> 02:18:44.000

I would rather replicate what what we would have had in the before times as much as possible.

02:18:44.000 --> 02:18:58.000

It's for us but it's also for them I think, too, I think it's there was a sense that last week that you know community wasn't being honored not just the community.

02:18:58.000 --> 02:19:05.000

But community. and so I think that that otherwise it's just very sterile.

02:19:05.000 --> 02:19:10.000

If you've ever been to any one of those things they're staring at faces alone in front of a in front of a computer.

02:19:10.000 --> 02:19:14.000

And it's you just don't feel like you're a part of it.

02:19:14.000 --> 02:19:25.000

And and I really think that we could use the community now like the small C community,

02:19:25.000 --> 02:19:30.000

Julia. So i've never actually attended a meeting or a webinar.

02:19:30.000 --> 02:19:34.000

With this feature before it's the first time i'm hearing about it.

02:19:34.000 --> 02:19:55.000

Has the deal we put forth any reason for why that's how they would want it, and that's freight Jonathan, or Julian or some questions here about the candidates because I do not think that it's appropriate that

02:19:55.000 --> 02:20:08.000

the candidates would see all of these these comments which are input from the community, and that I don't know whether that figured in or what you know what what, what it would mean.

02:20:08.000 --> 02:20:11.000

Who who would see these one way? 1 one way, chats.

02:20:11.000 --> 02:20:17.000

Do you know, Juliana, it would just be you.

02:20:17.000 --> 02:20:24.000

The Cec members, and honestly the candidates would have access to it as well if they like.

02:20:24.000 --> 02:20:30.000

Kelly said. If they click on chat, then they will see it

02:20:30.000 --> 02:20:37.000

Okay, so either way, the candidates could have access to it it's not a whether it's 2 way or one way.

02:20:37.000 --> 02:20:46.000

Yes, Kelly, I put my email address into the chat earlier, and people have literally been using it.

02:20:46.000 --> 02:21:01.000

And I just want to put on record that again. these people are overwhelming with saying that they want to have to be part of the community, to feel part of the process, to be able to see what other people are police saying but to feel

02:21:01.000 --> 02:21:09.000

included. And if we deny this space that I'm just going to those of you who want to deny it, I'm gonna say this is the reason why we're denying it.

02:21:09.000 --> 02:21:16.000

And I just we all again. we are a voted appointed body to represent this district.

02:21:16.000 --> 02:21:35.000

People are watching us, people are angry and i'm just I'm gonna continue to advocate and create spaces for people to feel included, and that their voice matters because it does every single voice matters

02:21:35.000 --> 02:21:41.000

Whitney,

02:21:41.000 --> 02:21:51.000

This is just a question that I have. So if the chat is again being viewed by the candidates?

02:21:51.000 --> 02:22:09.000

A sure that the candidate isn't changing their answer

02:22:09.000 --> 02:22:14.000

Sorry I don't know if y'all heard me not doing the right thing right now.

02:22:14.000 --> 02:22:24.000

You're good now. Okay. So my question was if the candidates have access to the chat.

02:22:24.000 --> 02:22:44.000

How are we gonna make sure that they are not I guess changing whatever they would honestly say, based on public opinion like, I don't necessarily, with what with the chat influence, how they would answer

02:22:44.000 --> 02:22:57.000

That's a good question and that it seems it just seems very odd to have a situation where people are giving comments about the candidates to us.

02:22:57.000 --> 02:23:07.000

We're collecting comments about the candidates whether it's, whether it's like whether the the public can see those comments or not, whether it's 2 way or one.

02:23:07.000 --> 02:23:15.000

Way. But those candidates themselves see the input, you know, like I would give very, very different input on a candidate.

02:23:15.000 --> 02:23:25.000

If I knew that they were reading that input or if I didn't think they were reading the the input I guess it's public right.

02:23:25.000 --> 02:23:30.000

You know. it's it's this is all public but anyway.

02:23:30.000 --> 02:23:36.000

Yeah, I just again, I want to parents. I want the parents to be able to see.

02:23:36.000 --> 02:23:43.000

But I just I don't feel comfortable with the candidates thing what the parents are saying, but I want the parents to be able to speak with each other.

02:23:43.000 --> 02:23:51.000

That's fine. you know we see what the parents say it's fine. I just again I just want to get the honest answers from the candidate.

02:23:51.000 --> 02:24:10.000

Yes, it doesn't where it's unclear whether that's possible Like to have a third category of participants in the in the webinar who would not have access to clicking on the chat kelly I just you know I

02:24:10.000 --> 02:24:20.000

know we're all sort of beating the dead horse here but I just let's just i'll be very clear like either we care about what the parents have to say.

02:24:20.000 --> 02:24:22.000

We want to be inclusive. We want people to see.

02:24:22.000 --> 02:24:27.000

We want to be transparent or and you know this is A. Cc.

02:24:27.000 --> 02:24:34.000

Town Hall. it's not a sea see town hall faces given the instructions the doe is given the instructions.

02:24:34.000 --> 02:24:38.000

Do we have any say which I say they can't tell us what to do.

02:24:38.000 --> 02:24:42.000

We can always send this. This is the United States of America.

02:24:42.000 --> 02:24:49.000

Descent is patriotic. So we also are elected again to do a job.

02:24:49.000 --> 02:25:03.000

But if we're not being transparent like if if we just wanna say, Hey, you know what do we has created to space where they really don't care very much about your input then dressed up and say it directly, people I mean either we

02:25:03.000 --> 02:25:13.000

care, and we're gonna advocate and we're gonna fight for people to feel part of this process, and every capacity possible or just be i'm not gonna I I mean i'm certainly not gonna you know fall for

02:25:13.000 --> 02:25:16.000

the doe and and say that I think that they care.

02:25:16.000 --> 02:25:26.000

I mean Juliana just said that nobody's gonna watch this nobody's gonna read the chat that's incredibly concerning I mean so is all of this just a farce and they've already made a decision.

02:25:26.000 --> 02:25:29.000

Like, How much do they even care? Not even just what the parents have to say?

02:25:29.000 --> 02:25:32.000

How much do they even care what the Cec has to say?

02:25:32.000 --> 02:25:40.000

I just I think we have to hold people accountable I think that we have a larger voice than than we're acting like that.

02:25:40.000 --> 02:25:46.000

We have, and I think that certainly we have the voice. we have the option.

02:25:46.000 --> 02:25:53.000

We have the opinion to fight and push back as high hard and as loud as we want to, and clearly when we push back.

02:25:53.000 --> 02:26:01.000

People take notice, and I think that's important victoria thank you Johnson.

02:26:01.000 --> 02:26:12.000

I think it's a point to give parents the voices during the Town Hall, because we all know life happens, and will they be able to reach up, get to a moment where they can listen to a 3Â h recording I don't know that they

02:26:12.000 --> 02:26:15.000

can. Secondly, I wanna make note that I attended 2 Tom Halls.

02:26:15.000 --> 02:26:20.000

I'll send as many as possible because i'd like to really research the process, and see how everything is working.

02:26:20.000 --> 02:26:24.000

One of them allowed for host and panelists to have the chat, and the other one was open to everyone.

02:26:24.000 --> 02:26:29.000

And my third point is one of the town halls that I timed today.

02:26:29.000 --> 02:26:31.000

One of the candidates said I know you've all received my resume.

02:26:31.000 --> 02:26:39.000

We've seen it by now so i'm not sure like if some districts ever receiving it in some are not so.

02:26:39.000 --> 02:26:49.000

I think it's important to also make that clear but this is just again, one reason why i'm attending one of these town halls is because I want to learn about the process works in different districts, and and how many candidates, they

02:26:49.000 --> 02:26:52.000

are who might be suited for it and get feedback from public.

02:26:52.000 --> 02:26:59.000

Thank you, Victoria. Could could you actually tell us a little bit about?

02:26:59.000 --> 02:27:06.000

Wait. You had one that you said had the chat on, and one that get in.

02:27:06.000 --> 02:27:09.000

Yeah one, because I I I put comments in both.

02:27:09.000 --> 02:27:19.000

So I remember when it was for host and panelists and the other one that I attended was to everyone, so I don't know if it's a matter of just asking, and that's how maybe the Council.

02:27:19.000 --> 02:27:29.000

Got it. but I I just think we remember that each of them had different allowances, or who got to see what?

02:27:29.000 --> 02:27:34.000

Okay, this is really i'm sorry it's it's getting late. We have.

02:27:34.000 --> 02:27:38.000

We still have a bunch of things that we need to to do tonight.

02:27:38.000 --> 02:27:43.000

So deb So much for uniformity among districts.

02:27:43.000 --> 02:27:47.000

But I was just gonna respond to Whitney.

02:27:47.000 --> 02:27:55.000

We could very easily ask the candidates to just leave their chat off, and trust that they would if we don't trust them to do that.

02:27:55.000 --> 02:28:07.000

We probably shouldn't be interviewing them for superintendent positions. But if we we could just ask them to that's all that's all. I was thinking about just making sure that they don't have it on that

02:28:07.000 --> 02:28:09.000

that was literally my question. This will make sure that they don't have it on.

02:28:09.000 --> 02:28:16.000

I I Don't want anybody to say that I don't want to ask. You heard the diametric opposite of what I've said all night.

02:28:16.000 --> 02:28:24.000

I just don't want anybody to capitalize and what the parents are saying, that's all.

02:28:24.000 --> 02:28:29.000

Okay, so There's a motion on the floor let's take a vote.

02:28:29.000 --> 02:28:41.000

Have the the chat on 2 way for the duration of the of the Deborah Alexander in favor.

02:28:41.000 --> 02:28:46.000

Christina, Barbara,

02:28:46.000 --> 02:28:57.000

In favor. don't think Greenberg in favor juliet Norahji in favor of the team, will look roughly

02:28:57.000 --> 02:29:06.000

In favor, Victoria Medallas in favor, Michelle Moore in favor.

02:29:06.000 --> 02:29:13.000

Marijuana fontos in favor. What need to son in favor?

02:29:13.000 --> 02:29:19.000

Esterborough Hall in favor, and Ethan one in favor. thanks.

02:29:19.000 --> 02:29:26.000

Everyone. Okay, So the next, the next order of business is the feedback form.

02:29:26.000 --> 02:29:30.000

So I sent this feedback form to all of you earlier this week.

02:29:30.000 --> 02:29:39.000

And I think maybe what I will do is share i'll open up the form and share it with all of you.

02:29:39.000 --> 02:29:56.000

So let's see hold on

02:29:56.000 --> 02:30:10.000

So share the screen. And okay, does everyone see my screen? Can someone give me a thumbs up?

02:30:10.000 --> 02:30:20.000

Yes, thank you. So this is the form we I would translate this form, so that at least it's in Spanish.

02:30:20.000 --> 02:30:28.000

And I will just go through it very quickly in case people haven't had a chance to look through this.

02:30:28.000 --> 02:30:38.000

It says, Please provide input on the candidates for superintendent in District 30, based on their statements in the May Twentieth Town Hall, or your prior familiarity with the candidates.

02:30:38.000 --> 02:30:41.000

We encourage you to leave input on all 4 candidates.

02:30:41.000 --> 02:30:45.000

We encourage you to leave input on all 4 candidates.

02:30:45.000 --> 02:30:55.000

The input This input will be used by the Cec. in providing recommendations to the doe as part of the C* process name.

02:30:55.000 --> 02:31:00.000

I watched or listened to the town hall live recorded or I didn't watch the town hall.

02:31:00.000 --> 02:31:12.000

Your connection to the district parent parent, a former student, parent, a future student, Pta executive board, tidy, one pack chair, district, 30 community center.

02:31:12.000 --> 02:31:21.000

Can you remember principal or assistant principal teacher, professional or other school-based staff district office staff?

02:31:21.000 --> 02:31:27.000

Oh, here's community member is maybe twice anyway, just a 30 community member.

02:31:27.000 --> 02:31:35.000

Then there's a section for each candidate rafael Alvarez, it says, and these questions are the same for all 4 candidates.

02:31:35.000 --> 02:31:43.000

It says, from what you heard at the Town Hall, and from your prior familiarity, how do you see Rafael Alvara serving as an asset to District 30?

02:31:43.000 --> 02:31:55.000

And it. The other question is, from what you heard at the town Hall and from your prior familiarity are there concerns you have about Rafael Alvarez's ability to serve as superintendent in district 30 and then each of the

02:31:55.000 --> 02:32:05.000

candidates has that section, and then it says, Thank you, and then you get to submit your your answers.

02:32:05.000 --> 02:32:20.000

And Okay, i'm going to stop sharing and Are there any

02:32:20.000 --> 02:32:36.000

Any comments or concerns about this this this form, which we would which we would post at the meeting and see out afterwards.

02:32:36.000 --> 02:32:45.000

For community members to submit. Submit: Input: Yes.

02:32:45.000 --> 02:32:51.000

Yeah, I think the preamble is fine I I don't like framing things for parents.

02:32:51.000 --> 02:32:56.000

It's just never one I wanna do I think this is a lot of work.

02:32:56.000 --> 02:33:00.000

I think it's you know a lot for for us to ask

02:33:00.000 --> 02:33:05.000

I think an open ended, an open-ended question would be better and then a pair.

02:33:05.000 --> 02:33:14.000

If if a parent doesn't remember about a candidate or if the parent doesn't want to talk about all the candidates, then you know I they can leave it blank.

02:33:14.000 --> 02:33:25.000

But I just think it's it's a Lot? and It we just need an open-ended forum like Here is your form for feedback, based on you know what you heard like with the question that you Can ask the question you asked all of

02:33:25.000 --> 02:33:32.000

them, but just leave them an open form that's what that's what I would prefer.

02:33:32.000 --> 02:33:45.000

I i'm not. I I wanna make sure I understand your your your your Yeah, I I I think the the the 2 questions I I think there just needs to be one question.

02:33:45.000 --> 02:33:49.000

And then an open form rather than what did you think of candidate? A.

02:33:49.000 --> 02:33:58.000

Of Kansas City of candidate t it's a lot and it's it's long and I think it's

02:33:58.000 --> 02:34:01.000

I think parents might not want to comment on every candidate if if they don't want to.

02:34:01.000 --> 02:34:04.000

They don't have to they should just give the feedback they want to give.

02:34:04.000 --> 02:34:09.000

We don't have to frame it for them like what did you think of this person or this, you know like I don't know.

02:34:09.000 --> 02:34:19.000

Just seems a little pedantic and like we just want feedback. We don't have to tell them exactly how to give it, and in what way.

02:34:19.000 --> 02:34:26.000

So this is this form is based on forms that i've used in hiring processes for people.

02:34:26.000 --> 02:34:39.000

And so it the point of doing this is simply to get people to think not only about what's at the top of their mind, you know their favorite candidate, or the things that stuck out but to get them thinking all of it is

02:34:39.000 --> 02:34:44.000

optional so, but but point point taken in any case.

02:34:44.000 --> 02:34:53.000

Next is Kelly. I mean I agree I mean this is the root coming through this.

02:34:53.000 --> 02:35:01.000

It's gonna be an arduous task and I think I was asked less questions in my last physical appointment.

02:35:01.000 --> 02:35:09.000

Then then you're asking on this form can't we do a ring of we rank your candidate one through 4.

02:35:09.000 --> 02:35:14.000

Oh, so we can Clearly, I mean, we do it. When we were doing the Cc.

02:35:14.000 --> 02:35:22.000

Special position. We gave an option where you could ring choice rank the vote so we can see a consensus, and then we can also leave on there like.

02:35:22.000 --> 02:35:28.000

Do you have any feedback that you want to provide I just I think you know It's give people a space to rank it.

02:35:28.000 --> 02:35:36.000

Give people a chance to complain or to praise but like this that's a lot of questions I mean it's it's too much.

02:35:36.000 --> 02:36:05.000

It's overwhelming I certainly wouldn't want to prevent, you know, to prevent anyone from providing their voice in this in this process, by only allowing them to rank

02:36:05.000 --> 02:36:13.000

Kelly, you're mute kelly raise your hand if you'd like to speak again.

02:36:13.000 --> 02:36:20.000

Okay, so I agree with the with the deb and with the Kelly?

02:36:20.000 --> 02:36:31.000

We should keep the phone very simple and much easier for, people to give their feedback the more easy it is the better.

02:36:31.000 --> 02:36:40.000

And also my question like for this form are we gonna read like all of them, or what's we gonna do with those form?

02:36:40.000 --> 02:36:47.000

And also for the section 3 it's very to keep it like just their feedback.

02:36:47.000 --> 02:36:52.000

I mean for for each candidate. it's it's too much work for for feedback.

02:36:52.000 --> 02:37:07.000

It's very relaxed to keep us a simple question and it's up to the the the the the community, or the person who can fill out this form to say whatever they want yeah let's let's keep it like open and free and

02:37:07.000 --> 02:37:15.000

give them space to say whatever they want. Thank you,

02:37:15.000 --> 02:37:20.000

Whitney, hey? Can you bring the form back up, please?

02:37:20.000 --> 02:37:27.000

Thank you. I I just wanna I think the leading questions. Thank you.

02:37:27.000 --> 02:37:31.000

So this is a Google Doc. We all know how they work.

02:37:31.000 --> 02:37:37.000

None of these questions are mandatory. Step the one where it asked.

02:37:37.000 --> 02:37:46.000

If you watched or listen to the town hall, correct, so the rest of the questions can be skipped if they don't want to answer the questions for those candidates.

02:37:46.000 --> 02:37:51.000

So again. There, there's the option you can answer the question skip the question.

02:37:51.000 --> 02:38:09.000

You know I I I like prompt myself. I know a few other parents like props, but I do think we should add a question where people can rank, and then add a question under each candidate, or just have a one in the front where

02:38:09.000 --> 02:38:20.000

they could just pre form in addition to what's here so I I don't necessarily think we should just have 2 questions a rank in the free.

02:38:20.000 --> 02:38:27.000

I think we should also give parents the opportunity to organize their input by each candidate.

02:38:27.000 --> 02:38:35.000

But I do like the rank editing a rank question and keeping them organized by candidates that way.

02:38:35.000 --> 02:38:45.000

When we do get their responses you know once the form is completed, they're organized in some kind of way, because it's gonna be hard to look through all the information.

02:38:45.000 --> 02:38:58.000

If we only have reform question. and you know, I I think the addition of a rank question is is important, and probably just maybe put those 2 in the front and leave the other questions optional.

02:38:58.000 --> 02:39:11.000

But at least have options for people to discuss each candidate under a question about each campus

02:39:11.000 --> 02:39:25.000

So yeah, i'd like to add, you know those 2 options to the form, and it, you know, to the form as it looks, and keep the one mandatory question about if they did watch the town hall or not because I think that is

02:39:25.000 --> 02:39:37.000

important. but also leave a question, you know, if somebody just only wants to talk about one aspect, you know, leave an open, open, open question.

02:39:37.000 --> 02:39:42.000

I an open question, meaning just a general question. Do you have any other comments, or anything like that?

02:39:42.000 --> 02:39:56.000

Team Is Is your hand an old hand, or you have something else? Oh, no. okay.

02:39:56.000 --> 02:40:06.000

So next is deb yeah I don't think that was cool I don't think we should be muting people in the real world.

02:40:06.000 --> 02:40:16.000

We wouldn't be muting people and the way that that our forum works like I get that you're the chair. but you then you call you get to get the last word all the time like It's not it's

02:40:16.000 --> 02:40:24.000

it's not really right like you commented on something Kelly said, and she should have had the opportunity to respond.

02:40:24.000 --> 02:40:29.000

And I don't think we should be muting people I I apologize.

02:40:29.000 --> 02:40:42.000

Kelly. and I will. What I would really like to do is not have the last word, and to stay out of the conversation more than I do.

02:40:42.000 --> 02:40:46.000

But I really would like people to raise their hands. and

02:40:46.000 --> 02:40:53.000

And I will not. I will do my best, and I will not cut people off as I as I did.

02:40:53.000 --> 02:41:00.000

Michelle

02:41:00.000 --> 02:41:07.000

Okay, I think with me pretty much said what I wanted to say I thought I don't think the the the

02:41:07.000 --> 02:41:14.000

The question is too long, I do think, though, because you have the option to skip certain questions, and that's fine.

02:41:14.000 --> 02:41:20.000

But I do think that it needs to be in a question that's open for additional comments whatever they want in case they want to skip.

02:41:20.000 --> 02:41:36.000

They want to tell you what they think. Oh, that the public and Also, I think the the ranking would be would serve Well, I think that that's probably a good thing for us to get the data on

02:41:36.000 --> 02:41:42.000

Okay. next is Kelly

02:41:42.000 --> 02:41:48.000

Whereas I accept your apology. please don't do it again, especially if i'm not cursing shouting or anything like that.

02:41:48.000 --> 02:41:53.000

I just the way that you responded when Dev made her comment.

02:41:53.000 --> 02:41:56.000

You responded. I had sent something, and you repeat it.

02:41:56.000 --> 02:42:02.000

What I said incorrectly because I didn't just say rank voting, which clearly it seems like everybody's asked for.

02:42:02.000 --> 02:42:08.000

So it was a good option that I suggested but you and I was just trying to clarify that's I.

02:42:08.000 --> 02:42:13.000

I also said that to give a response back where people could engage with their feedback.

02:42:13.000 --> 02:42:20.000

And then you just talk over me and me like that's not productive or helpful, and where I appreciate your apology.

02:42:20.000 --> 02:42:25.000

But please just remember that the just the way that you feed you know.

02:42:25.000 --> 02:42:38.000

Talk back to us when we speak please allow if you're somebody's talking specifically about something that we said please allow us to space to also say, Hey, I actually said this

02:42:38.000 --> 02:42:48.000

Michelle and Whitney are your hands old sales is old Whitney's.

02:42:48.000 --> 02:42:53.000

Yeah, i'd i'd like for us to be able to have a space where we can go back and forth.

02:42:53.000 --> 02:43:00.000

I hope that we can have a reset now. I would appreciate a reset now, so that we can do that if you need to breathe.

02:43:00.000 --> 02:43:07.000

I know I had a moment earlier. but please you know just let's do that.

02:43:07.000 --> 02:43:16.000

I I do think that the form we have here again I Just wanna say, I think that what Michelle said, and what Kelly said, It's appropriate, you know.

02:43:16.000 --> 02:43:22.000

Having an open ended question in the beginning. or people can, you know, just have that option?

02:43:22.000 --> 02:43:26.000

And then also adding a rank question as well,

02:43:26.000 --> 02:43:32.000

And and at least making the rank question and the open question.

02:43:32.000 --> 02:43:42.000

Well, the open response. Make those 2 mandatory because of the Google dot, you know, like you can't can move forward if you don't write, you can't move forward on the question here.

02:43:42.000 --> 02:43:51.000

If you don't put something in in the open section and then the other options be optional, and that way.

02:43:51.000 --> 02:44:07.000

If people want to speak about an individual candidate on a individual basis, that's optional, and that way the form can be submitted if they only want to rank and give one comment.

02:44:07.000 --> 02:44:14.000

So I I feel strongly that it all should be optional.

02:44:14.000 --> 02:44:30.000

If I were filling this out and I had watch the the event, and I had, you know, things to say about each candidate which I think would be the most helpful for us. We're trying to to understand what people re how people

02:44:30.000 --> 02:44:37.000

reacted to these candidates, and you made me fill out an a general comment as well.

02:44:37.000 --> 02:44:40.000

I might be a little bit annoyed that I had to write something else.

02:44:40.000 --> 02:44:54.000

I just wrote all these things about all 4 candidates. I also am not sure that every candidate that every person who fills this out is going to have a ranking; that they that they want to to give.

02:44:54.000 --> 02:44:59.000

And so why not let that be optional as well?

02:44:59.000 --> 02:45:15.000

I. I think we want to get as much genuine feedback from community members as we as we can, and not again not pigeon, hold them into saying, Oh,

please rank these these candidates when they thought you know they very well, might have

02:45:15.000 --> 02:45:21.000

thought 3 of them were great one of them I didn't like so much.

02:45:21.000 --> 02:45:25.000

That's that's very useful that's very very useful information for us.

02:45:25.000 --> 02:45:35.000

I don't want to make them rank things next Fatima.

02:45:35.000 --> 02:45:48.000

I heard. Victoria says that, like other candidates with in other town halls like they, they did have, like a resume, a sense before the Town hall.

02:45:48.000 --> 02:46:02.000

Is that an option that we can ask and we can like like before the Town Hall tomorrow, or it's too late, and I think it's maybe beneficial for large people who will attend tomorrow.

02:46:02.000 --> 02:46:17.000

I mean if That's Something we can ask for it I agree, and I believe Kelly has already asked. let's let's consider this Juliana, or a a request on behalf of the council If there are

02:46:17.000 --> 02:46:22.000

resumes. If we can get resumes in advance, we would very much appreciate them.

02:46:22.000 --> 02:46:39.000

I don't know what the story, was in that district you know. My impression is that this is not a we're not a hiring committee, you know, or or at least the district is not hiring committee and

02:46:39.000 --> 02:46:46.000

that they weren't gonna give us that kind of thing but if they gave it to another council.

02:46:46.000 --> 02:46:51.000

Absolutely. It would be very helpful for us to for us to have.

02:46:51.000 --> 02:47:07.000

I understand. Yeah, Victoria. Would we be able to make a concerted effort for folks that may not have smartphones or access to just watching the Town hall and maybe like have them good granted access to like a

02:47:07.000 --> 02:47:17.000

school's family room to watch This and maybe give them paper forms to submit their feedback because I feel like that's a gap that we're missing, that everyone has you know we see with some arising right Now everyone had

02:47:17.000 --> 02:47:21.000

that availability to be there at 5 o'clock people work different schedules.

02:47:21.000 --> 02:47:29.000

So is that something we can push for as a council to just maybe make a little bit more accessible to those kinds of families.

02:47:29.000 --> 02:47:45.000

That's a great idea, Victoria. my concern is that this is all our time timing is pretty tight, and these things take a lot of organization.

02:47:45.000 --> 02:47:52.000

I have been working. I have been working like a crazy perturb that is not interesting.

02:47:52.000 --> 02:47:56.000

I've been working a time this this this week to try to you know.

02:47:56.000 --> 02:48:08.000

Get ready for this town hall and and for us to do that I I just don't know whether we have the resources, but I love that idea.

02:48:08.000 --> 02:48:15.000

And I would certainly be happy to to help out if we can, if we can get it done.

02:48:15.000 --> 02:48:26.000

Hi! yes, who is next Fatima no i'm sorry I forgot to lower my hand.

02:48:26.000 --> 02:48:37.000

Good I I think, we're we might be splitting hairs. it's getting to 1030 i'm willing to go with consensus with whatever and anyone wants to do at this point.

02:48:37.000 --> 02:48:48.000

I think we're gonna get good feedback I do I didn't think about the ranking and I didn't think I felt strongly about it until hearing folks speak about it.

02:48:48.000 --> 02:48:53.000

And something you said Jonathan like if someone says well I didn't like this one, but I really liked the other 3.

02:48:53.000 --> 02:48:59.000

It'd be good to know within those 3 how how they ranked them how they felt.

02:48:59.000 --> 02:49:01.000

So I think that would be helpful to us to both.

02:49:01.000 --> 02:49:07.000

Have the written feedback and and the ranking but if whatever you guys want to do.

02:49:07.000 --> 02:49:15.000

I'm fine with but I think that would be a good idea

02:49:15.000 --> 02:49:21.000

Yes, Whitney. maybe. and again. I Now I agree with not making the question.

02:49:21.000 --> 02:49:35.000

If we question mandatory, it's fine for me but maybe also have a button under each candidate's name for like favorable, unfavorable

02:49:35.000 --> 02:49:40.000

Like, you know, if they like them or not like Oh, I like them, or didn't like them, or you know if they had favorable under all 4.

02:49:40.000 --> 02:49:42.000

You know what I mean like, and again make that optional.

02:49:42.000 --> 02:49:53.000

I just you know the ranking? Are we only allowing a clear preference for one? Is that what we're doing with the ranking?

02:49:53.000 --> 02:50:06.000

We could do any. We could do anything. I just I just wanna get back to like this is for us to understand how what people how people react to these these candidates.

02:50:06.000 --> 02:50:08.000

So we could do a ranking, and we could do. instead of a ranking.

02:50:08.000 --> 02:50:22.000

We could do with me what you're what you're saying favorable, unfavorable for each candidate. I kind of like that, and I like I also like that, because it could be paired with the written comments and people can

02:50:22.000 --> 02:50:27.000

skip the written comments and just do favorable, and then go to the next one unfavorable.

02:50:27.000 --> 02:50:34.000

If they want I like that idea michelle Well, actually, i'm not gonna add any more comments, I said.

02:50:34.000 --> 02:50:38.000

Whatever is simplest, you know. If we had what we had and we don't rank anything.

02:50:38.000 --> 02:50:42.000

I mean we don't make it all quiet you know folks the option is fine.

02:50:42.000 --> 02:50:48.000

You put a button, and give folks the option it's fine and not ranking it. Whatever is easiest for folks to get through.

02:50:48.000 --> 02:50:57.000

This form, I think, is the best, and I think also as we prepare for what we which I hear will be another meeting later on to get community.

02:50:57.000 --> 02:51:05.000

Input there's an opportunity there to talk about some engagement for how to get schools to get You know parents to look at these videos.

02:51:05.000 --> 02:51:10.000

You know what they look at them in school, and also send reminders out through the school systems.

02:51:10.000 --> 02:51:16.000

Remind whatever they do in order to do the surveys and that's my comment.

02:51:16.000 --> 02:51:30.000

Okay, so I i'm gonna say I was like to add a favorable, unfavorable toggle or button to each one instead of a ranking.

02:51:30.000 --> 02:51:41.000

And then also a general field. I would like to put the general field at the end.

02:51:41.000 --> 02:51:46.000

We can say at the beginning There's a general field if you just want to do that at the end.

02:51:46.000 --> 02:51:54.000

But I really, i'm i'm worried that people are just gonna do the general and not put the comments on the individual people.

02:51:54.000 --> 02:52:06.000

And I wanna hear about those individual people. so yes, Kelly, I might have, miss.

02:52:06.000 --> 02:52:18.000

Maybe i'm this quoting but I feel like maybe it was at our business meeting, or if we've had so many meetings guys, I don't really remember which meaning it was but was one of our meetings where we talked

02:52:18.000 --> 02:52:26.000

about the ability to rank. we were deciding if it was like one through 4, or one through 5 or 1, 2, 3, and I think we agreed on one through 5.

02:52:26.000 --> 02:52:35.000

Because it gave people the ability to give like 3 if you felt neutral where No, I actually need clarification this.

02:52:35.000 --> 02:52:43.000

But weren't we applying that to this process where we were giving people the ability where to do this, or where were we ranking people for the one to 5?

02:52:43.000 --> 02:52:51.000

Then those our current superintendent for the evaluation, and that was not a general ranking.

02:52:51.000 --> 02:52:59.000

That was on specific questions about Dr. Composers performance over the past year.

02:52:59.000 --> 02:53:09.000

So it says has you know. has Okay, parents are blah blah blah and the and there's, and then there's like a one through 5 scale. I remember.

02:53:09.000 --> 02:53:13.000

I'm sorry I again. i'm sorry I remember us having that conversation. I could remember specifically where we had it.

02:53:13.000 --> 02:53:17.000

But can't we sort of apply that so it's kind of like what Whitney was saying.

02:53:17.000 --> 02:53:28.000

Favorable, not favorable, favorable. Can we have the option where people can sort of like click on one through 5 of how they, you know, strongly disagree to strongly agree.

02:53:28.000 --> 02:53:39.000

Is that easy I mean you know that's easy to sort of we're so used to number rankings I maybe that's an easy way.

02:53:39.000 --> 02:53:48.000

Okay, creating a form or a survey in a way that's gonna be help easy for people and clear is not easy. I spent.

02:53:48.000 --> 02:53:55.000

I spent time, you know. significant time on this already. and i'm willing to spend, you know more tomorrow.

02:53:55.000 --> 02:54:03.000

Devising scales for this in the next you know whatever it is.

02:54:03.000 --> 02:54:13.000

18 h is not so easy. i I i'm willing to add, you know, a a toggle favorable and favorable toggle.

02:54:13.000 --> 02:54:20.000

If we decide on a ranking, we can I can add that but I I can't.

02:54:20.000 --> 02:54:25.000

I can't do the scales in this in this time it's it's too much.

02:54:25.000 --> 02:54:29.000

Michelle

02:54:29.000 --> 02:54:34.000

Yeah, i'm happy to help with that if you need some help.

02:54:34.000 --> 02:54:38.000

I really don't love favorable unfavorable It's just I would if I was taking the survey.

02:54:38.000 --> 02:54:48.000

I'd be like i don't even know what that means I would rather just i'd rather have nothing and if we don't like the ranking, I think I like kelly's idea of the one through 5 because it

02:54:48.000 --> 02:54:53.000

is a sort of a default or a de facto ranking without actually making.

02:54:53.000 --> 02:54:59.000

You know there could be 2 threes or 2 fors but so it's not exactly ranking, but you can get a sense.

02:54:59.000 --> 02:55:04.000

If they thought everybody was terrible, you would get a sense. I I really really like that

02:55:04.000 --> 02:55:08.000

Instead of, instead of a straight up ranking question it

02:55:08.000 --> 02:55:15.000

And it would be under each person. as far as the the open feedback.

02:55:15.000 --> 02:55:19.000

I really I liked whitty's idea of having it at the top.

02:55:19.000 --> 02:55:25.000

If we're concerned about people you know not getting to the bottom of it, then maybe the survey is too long.

02:55:25.000 --> 02:55:31.000

I I actually feel bad for whoever's alphabetically last, because I think by the time parents get down to that person they're going to be sick of writing.

02:55:31.000 --> 02:55:46.000

But that's that's my feeling about both. of those I I would rather, if I would rather not have favorable unfavorable and not have ranking the at all But if we're gonna rank I I do like

02:55:46.000 --> 02:55:50.000

the idea either ranking or the the one to 5 scale, and and I can.

02:55:50.000 --> 02:55:57.000

I can help with the form if need be so so Maybe i'm misunderstood about the ranking we're.

02:55:57.000 --> 02:56:14.000

We're just talking about a ranking an overall ranking for like for for that Canada David centron, one through 5, So I I can I can do that I can also the other thing.

02:56:14.000 --> 02:56:18.000

That I could do to make this shorter is to collapse.

02:56:18.000 --> 02:56:32.000

The 2 questions into one question for each candidate it's not, you know, not ideal, but maybe it is better, because if we're worried about people getting through it, then then I really do want them to get through.

02:56:32.000 --> 02:56:44.000

It, so I will. I will change the questions collapse those so that there's just one question about each candidate any general question, and and then create a scale.

02:56:44.000 --> 02:56:56.000

It the 1, 2, 4, one through 5 scale I i'm gonna trust that you all can leave me to decide on whether it's 4 or 5 for for this purpose.

02:56:56.000 --> 02:57:03.000

Okay, I feel like we we have. We have a consensus here.

02:57:03.000 --> 02:57:16.000

And just to say to to to a couple of people who said, this is gonna be a lot of this could be a lot of stuff for us to read.

02:57:16.000 --> 02:57:28.000

I hope it's a lot of stuff first to read right we want to hear from from people, and it's a lot of work, and I am part of me is kind of resentful that the the doe put so much on us but as I said in the

02:57:28.000 --> 02:57:33.000

beginning this is an opportunity. it's an opportunity to do this engagement and some opportunity here from people.

02:57:33.000 --> 02:57:37.000

And so I really hope that we get a lot of responses to this.

02:57:37.000 --> 02:57:47.000

To this survey. Okay, we are done with everything except for the questions themselves.

02:57:47.000 --> 02:57:55.000

It is 1030. we really need to decide on the questions so i'm going to make a motion to go into executive session.

02:57:55.000 --> 02:58:10.000

And Kelly, that's a second and is becka Staley still here.

02:58:10.000 --> 02:58:20.000

Yes, yes, if and okay. So this is a second just

02:58:20.000 --> 02:58:31.000

Do we need a roll call? Vote for this probably. Yes, June, and after we take the roll call, vote we'll take a vote to allow Rebecca to to join us.

02:58:31.000 --> 02:58:44.000

Juliet! Hello, Alexander in favor. Christina Barrett

02:58:44.000 --> 02:59:04.000

Christina, we know You're with your baby Kelly Craig in favor Jonathan Greenberg in paper, Juliet Norahji, in favor of the team, will look roughly

02:59:04.000 --> 02:59:21.000

Looks like she might have gotten dropped off Alright, we'll move on Victoria in favor Michelle Moore in favor Marissa Samos in favor.

02:59:21.000 --> 02:59:31.000

Whitney Tucson in favor. Okay, that's different in favor, and Ethan Wong in favor.

02:59:31.000 --> 02:59:38.000

Thanks. Okay. I now was like to so we often also.

02:59:38.000 --> 02:59:49.000

Motion to low Gail to participate in the to be into the meeting. I'm.

02:59:49.000 --> 02:59:54.000

Seeing some shaking heads. Gail, I I know if you want this is late.

02:59:54.000 --> 03:00:00.000

You don't even have to be here you could go to sleep if you want

03:00:00.000 --> 03:00:14.000

So i'll just make a motion to allow to to add get both gale and becka daily into our executive session, and the second second is Kelly.

03:00:14.000 --> 03:00:20.000

And Juliet, please, Paul, roll Debra Alexander in favor.

03:00:20.000 --> 03:00:29.000

Christina Berlin, Kelly Craig in favor. Jonathan Greenberg. Engineering.

03:00:29.000 --> 03:00:32.000

Yes, Juliet Norahji, in favor of Fatima.

03:00:32.000 --> 03:00:40.000

Look roughly, Victoria Medallas in favor, Michelle Moore in favor.

03:00:40.000 --> 03:00:57.000

Marisa Santos in favor. Let me Tucson in favor Ester Bohal in favor in favor. Thanks.

03:00:57.000 --> 03:01:10.000

Everyone. Okay, Gail, I always forget how this works do we need to jump off, or something generally.

03:01:10.000 --> 03:01:18.000

We've done that in the past but if this meeting will end. I don't think we want that meet this meeting to end.

03:01:18.000 --> 03:01:24.000

Do we just ask everybody else to leave? Yes, slightly and thank them for being here.

03:01:24.000 --> 03:01:35.000

Yeah. yes. So Thank you. All of their 1716 attend attendees here.

03:01:35.000 --> 03:01:42.000

Thank you all for staying with us for so long. please come back tomorrow at 5.

03:01:42.000 --> 03:01:54.000

And We'll just wait for everyone to to drop off give people a couple of minutes.

03:01:54.000 --> 03:02:03.000

Unfortunately, sometimes people are on and not actually paying attention. Oh, I can remove them. Jonathan, if you want me to.

03:02:03.000 --> 03:02:06.000

, Yeah. let's wait a minute, , and then and then remove them.

03:02:06.000 --> 03:02:12.000

I mean we've all ip i've i've been that person 2, I I mean technically.

03:02:12.000 --> 03:02:16.000

You have to come back into this meeting, but all you're going to say is okay.

03:02:16.000 --> 03:02:24.000

We determine the questions motion to adjourn. Do you record executive sessions?

03:02:24.000 --> 03:02:34.000

Or no, no, executive sessions are not recorded, and there are no no minutes.

03:02:34.000 --> 03:02:37.000

I only ask because it was still recording I didn't know what we were supposed to do in that situation.

03:02:37.000 --> 03:02:46.000

Oh, we will we'll stop the recording once everybody else it's off.

03:02:46.000 --> 03:02:52.000

I'm gonna rep promote rebecca okay and to everyone else.

03:02:52.000 --> 03:02:57.000

We're sorry for kicking you off if you're still paying attention.

03:02:57.000 --> 03:03:08.000

But we are going to kick everyone off in order to in order to have an executive session, which is a a session that is not open to the public.

03:03:08.000 --> 03:03:38.000

To discuss the questions. for tomorrow night.

03:03:43.000 --> 03:03:56.000

The executive session. Okay, ex we're we're done. Executive session and Okay, I would like to make a motion to adjourn our our meeting.

03:03:56.000 --> 03:04:02.000

Second is Kelly, and on favor lots of hands. Thank you.

03:04:02.000 --> 03:04:07.000

Sleep Well, we'll see you tomorrow you guys this was really good work.

03:04:07.000 --> 03:04:23.000

I really enjoyed it.